Critical Review of the Mu'tazilī Theory of the Creation (Ḥudūth) of the Qur'an in Qāḍī Abd al-Jabbār's Opinion, Regarding Imam Reza's Narrations

Mahmoud Seyedy¹; Ehsan kordi ardakani²

¹ Assistant Professor, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran
² Assistant professor, Ardakan university, Ardakan, Iran

* Correspondence: m.saidiy@yahoo.com
e.kordi@ardakan.ac.ir

http://dx.doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v6i4.985

Abstract

The issue of creation/origin (Ḥudūth) or pre-eternity (Qidam) of the Qur'an was one of the oldest theological debates in Muslim world which has been discussed under the title of the word (Kalām or speech) attribute of God. Qāḍī Abd al-Jabbār, one the most important scholars of the Mu'tazilī school, gives several reasons to prove the origin and creation of the Qur'an. Referring to the hadiths (narrations) of Imam Reza (AS), his arguments lead to the temporal origin and gradual descent of the words and sounds of the Qur'an. However, since this is related to the word of God, the arguments of Abdul Jabbār require temporal origin, composition and change in the Divine nature, according to His Word attribute. For, the attribute could not be separated from the attributed and the sentence of creation or pre-eternity pass to each other, as well. The creation of the Qur'an and confining the Divine word in words and sounds provide you with basic challenges, which may not be accepted easily.
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Introduction

The Holy Qur’an in many cases invites human beings to think and ponder on the creation of God and His names and attributes. In this way, Islamic scholars argued many issues of the natures of the names and attributes of God and various theological schools offered further ideas in this regard. Among them, Ash'arīs believed that the attributes of God were separated from the nature and pre-eternity¹, while Mu'tazilīs stated that the pre-eternity was due to God’s essence. From their point of view, the proof of the

¹ According to ‘Ash’arīs, the person (creator or creature) who reports an account or forbids of or commands to something, speaks with himself with a series of meanings and uses the informative or commanding words instead of them, called self-speech (Ṭaftāzānī, 1409 AH; vol. 4, p. 144). To Ash’arīs, the concept of being pre-eternal of the Qur’an, is in fact the pre-eternity of such self attributes.
pre-eternity attributes entailed the idolatry and was against the monotheism (Jurjānī, 1325: vol. 8, p. 44-45).

In this way, the Divine Word was an attribute that became the origin of many discussions among these two great theological schools, so that the creation or pre-eternity of the Qur’an led to various debates in both groups. As the beginning of the theological discussion in Islam was with the word attribute, the science of theology has been called as such a title (Ṭabāṭabāyī, 1417 AH: vol. 2, p. 326). With that in mind, the main reason behind it was Divine attributes existing in the Qur’anic verses. This is shown in the discussions of the God’s attributes, under the word attribute.2

Mu’tazīlıs believe that the Qur’an was created and they denied its pre-eternity. According to them, the Qur’an is the Word of God and His creature, that was not existed but was seen afterwards. The truth of the Qur’an is a group of words and sounds that gradually were revealed. Hence, the Qur’an has a truth outside this words.

At the age of Imam Reza (AS), the numerous theological sects were prevalent more and more, which because of Abbāsī Ma’mūn, Mu’tazīlıs had more followers than the others. So that, mostly those theologians who debated with Imam Reza (AS) and most of the theological questions, for example, in the issue of the infallibility of the prophets, were attributed to this school. The critical reviews of this school’s theological bases hence are abundant in the traditions of Imam Reza (AS), from which one can point to the creation theory of the Qur’an. As the most important representative of Mu’tazīlıs is Qāḍī Abdul Jabbār Hamedānī, the creation theory of Mu’tazīlıs about the Qur’an according to his views is criticized here, regarding Imam Reza’s (AS) narrations.

The Word Attribute of the Qur’an

It was stated that the divine verses about the word attribute raised the issue of creation or pre-eternity of the Qur’an. Qāḍī Abdul Jabbār uses these verses to prove the creation of the Qur’an. He defines the word attribute as: “a special order consisting of rational letters in the form of two letters or more. According to this definition, the word has a special meaning, and so the birds’ voices and songs are not words, due to their non-sense natures (Abdul Jabbar, 1962: vol. 7, p. 7).

The Qur’an in some verses attaches the word attribute to God: ‘وَ كَلَّمَ اللََُّّ مُوسى تَكْلِيماً. “and to Musa Allah spoke Directly” (Nisā’: 164). Asking and speaking with a created being proves the creation of the asker and the addressee (who answers) (Abdul Jabbar, 1962: vol. 7, p. 90). Also the verse “نُودِيَ مِنْ شاطِئِ الْوادِ الَْْيْمَنِ فِي الْبُقْعَةِ الْمُبارَكَةِ مِنَ الشَّجَرَةِ أَنْ يا مُوسى إِنِّي أَنَا اللََُّّ رَبُّ الْعالَمِينَ “from The right side of the valley out of a Blessed tree he was addressed: ‘O, Musa! Verily, I am Allah, the Creator of the worlds’” (Qiṣṣa: 30) proves the creation of interjection. For, firstly the interjection was from the tree, and so it had a temporal beginning and was created, as well (Abdul Jabbar, 1962: vol. 7: p. 90). If the word of God and the Qur’an was pre-eternity and not origin, God must always have said the above statement to Moses, while this is not reasonable (Abdul Jabbar, 1426: p. 310). Similarly, the verse “وَ لَوْ أَنَّ ما فِي الَْْرْضِ مِنْ شَجَرَةٍ أَقْلامٌ وَ الْبَحْرُ يَمُدُّهُ مِنْ بَعْدِهِ سَبْعَةُ أَبْحُرٍ ما نَفِدَتْ كَلِماتُ اللََِّّ "And if all the trees on the earth were Pens and the seas were ink with

2 Some researchers attribute this to the familiarity of Muslims with ancient Greek philosophy and the translation of their philosophical books (Khū’ī, nd: p. 407-408). Others attribute the Ash’arīs’ belief in the pre-eternity of the Qur’an to the familiarity of Muslims with Christian priests and their theological foundations (Sohbani, nd: vol. 3, p. 517). For Christians believe that Jesus was the word of God and so he is pre-eternal. Ash’arīs consider all the attributes, including life, knowledge and so on as pre-eternal. Therefore, the issue is not specific to the word attribute and the above justification is violated with other traits. In view of the points raised in the text, the main reason for such an issue arises is the verses of the Holy Qur’an concerning the attribute of the Word and the call to ponder and think about them. However, these two cases, especially the translation of Greek philosophical texts, may also have implications for the form of arguments and the way in which discussions are formed.
seven More seas, yet the Words of Allah Exceeds the stationery and would not Exhaust” (Luqman: 27) implies that the word of God was predestined and created and gradually was shown (Abdul Jabbār, 1426: p. 326). As a result, it is not pre-eternal and not-created. On the other hand, the word of God is audible: “ثُمَّ قَالَ عَلَمُ يُرِيهَا الرُّسُلَ وَ مِنْهُ وَحْيٌ وَ تَنْزِيلٌ يُتْلَى وَ يُقْرَأ” (IbnBābiwayh: 1398 AH: 140): “Allah, the Blessed the Exalted, has always been All-Knowing, All-Powerful, Ever-Living [hay], Ever-Lasting [qadim]”. Moreover, according to another tradition of Imam Reza (AS), after saying the pre-eternity and non-creation of the Qur’an, the reason was because the word is the attribute of God: “لَيْسَ بِنَحْوٍ وَاحِدٍ مِنْهُ مَا كَلَّمَ اللََُّّ بِهِ الرُّسُلَ وَ مِنْهُ مَا قَذَفَهُ فِي قُلُوبِهِمْ وَ مِنْهُ رُؤْيَا” (Ibid: p. 223): “It is neither creator nor created; rather it is the Word of Allah, the Might and High”. For the Qur’an contains the words and sayings of God in various matters by which He has spoken to human beings, especially the prophets. According to these two hadiths, the relation of God to the word attribute of God and the Qur’an must also be eternal, and this attribute is the same as the essence of God, which, of course, is conceptually different from the essence and other attributes such as knowledge.

Imam Reza (AS)’s saying insists that if we accept the Mu’tazilīs’ theory, such as Abdul Jabbār, a necessary existential being (wājib al-wujūd) and a pre-eternal being would require a created and possible being in his words. This will also mean belief in a partner with God (shirk). In other words, necessity and need are not compatible with the pre-eternal and necessary existential being, but it entails his possibility (mumkin). On the other hand, the created and temporal attribute means the creation and temporal origin of the attributed. Because having a created attribute indicates that the attributed is created. In other words, according to the conjunction between the attribute (sifat) and the attributed (muwṣṣīf), either God is created or His attributes such as the words, become pre-eternal: “من قال ذلك وذان يأْتَحْمِعَ بِاللهِ أُحْرَى وَ لَيْسَ مِنْ وَلََيَتِنَا عَلَى شَيْءٍ وإن قال عِنْ أَحَدٍ مِنَ الْمُشْرِكِينَ اسْتَجارَكَ، فَأَجِرْهُ حَتَّى يَسْمَعَ” (IbnBābiwayh: 1398 AH: 140): “Thus, he (AS) replied: ‘Verily, whoever says this and believes in it is a polytheist. He is not under our Guardianship at all.’ He then added: ‘Allah, the Mighty and High, has always been All-Knowing, All-Powerful, Ever-Living, Ever-Lasting, All-Hearing, and all-Seeing by His Essence. Exalted is He, the Elevated, the Great, from what the polytheists and anthropomorphists say.’”

Another point is that the above argument proves that some types of words, such as the speech of God to Moses (AS), are from the category of sounds and letters, and are therefore temporal creation. But this does not mean all kinds of the words are created, for the Divine Word has many different forms, the lowest of which is letter and sound (Sabzevarī, 1379: vol. 3, p. 638). In Shi’ite traditions there is also the negation of a kind of the word of God: “كَلَّامُ اللََِّّ لَيْسَ بِنَحْوٍ واحِدٍ مِنْهُ وَ مِنْ حَجَرٍ وَ مِنْهُ رُؤْيَا” (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1398: p. 264): “and the word of Allah is not (revealed) in one method; among them is what Allah spoke to the Messengers, among them is what He threw upon their hearts, among them is what the Messengers see in their dreams, among them is inspiration and revelation which is read and delivered”. So one of the drawbacks of the above argument is to compare the human word with the word of God, while the word of God, like the word of men, is not limited to one kind of words, namely letters and sounds. That’s why Imam Reza (AS) insists that such a

3. The pointing noun of dhālika refers a theory by which the attribute is separated from the attributed in God. This could be used with along the above narration from Imam Reza (AS) in order to reject the theory of Mu'tazilis.
comparison and resemblance leads to polytheism (shirk) and attributing what God forbids Himself, such as attaching God to the attributes of the created beings, causes disbelief and infidelity (kufr): “مَنْ شَبَّهَ اللَّهَ بِخَلْقِهِ فَهُوَ مُشْرِكٌ وَ مَنْ نَسَبَ إِلَيْهِ مَا نَهَى عَنْهُ فَهُوَ كَافِرٌ” (Ibn Babibawyh, 1378: vol. 1, p. 114): “Anyone who resembles Allah to His creature is an idoler, and anyone who attributes to Him what He forbids, he is an infidel”.

The Qur’an as “dhikr”

Numerous verses refer to the Qur’an as “dhikr” attribute: “ص وَ الْقُرْآنِ ذِي الذِّكْرِ” :”By the Qur'an [which is a Book] Full of Wisdom and Admonition. That these disbelievers are plunged in False pride and schism [against The Truth]” (Sad: 1-2): “وَ هَذَا ذِكْرٌ مُبَارَكٌ أَنْزَلْناهُ” :”And this Holy Qur'an is a Blessed Reminder which We have sent down” (Anbiya’: 50): “وَ إِنَّهُ لَذِكْرٌ لَكَ وَ لِقَوْمِكَ” :”And indeed this Qur'an is a Reminder for you and your people” (Zukhruf: 44). Hence the Qur’an is “dhikr” (reminder). Therefore, considering the dhikr attribute of the Qur’an, in terms of a number of verses, and its creation, in terms of some other verses, the Qur'an is not pre-eternity but creation (Abdul Jabbār, nd: p. 496) and God gradually descended the Qur'an verse by verse and surah by surah (Zamakhsharī, 1407 AH: vol. 3, p. 101). Consequently, the inclusion of two Qur’anic introductions, namely the Qur’an as reminder and the reminder as creation, results in the creation of the Qur’an.

Critique: Seemingly, the most important point of this argument is the creation of the reminder or word of God, exactly as human speech begins at a time and ends at a time. However, such a comparison between the Creator and the creature is not correct. Therefore, Imam Reza (AS), emphasizing the created Qur’an, states: “مَعَاذَ اللَّهِ أَنْ يُشْبِهَ خَلْقَهُ أَوْ يَتَكَلَّمَ بِمِثْلِ مَا هُمْ مُتَكَلِّمُونَ وَ لَكِنَّهُ تَبَارَكَ وَ تَعَالَى لَيْسَ كَمِثْلِهِ شَيْءٌ وَ لَكَمِثْلِهِ قَائِلٌ فَاعِلٌ قَالَ كَيْفَ ذَلِكَ قَالَ كُنْ فَكَانَ بِمَشِيَّتِهِ” (Majlisī, 1403 AH: vol. 10, p. 344): “Refuge be in God! If one resembles Him to his creature, or speaking like those speak, but, He the Blessed and Exalted, is nothing like Him, neither speaker nor practitioner. He asked: ‘So how was it?’ He (AS) said: ‘The Creator's word to the creature is not like that of the creature to the other creature, and not to the movement of the lips and tongue, but He will tell him: "Be done" (کن), and it (the word of Allah [Haqq] with the Moses] was according to His will and command”. Consequently, one cannot claim that the word attribute of God is like the human speech, i.e. it is created word.

In other words, according to this hadith, the conclusion of such arguments entails the logical fallacy of non-repetition of the middle ground. Because in the verses argued to prove that the Qur'an as “reminder”, dhikr has not been repeated in the same way (Rāzī, 1420: vol. 22, p. 119) but has a literal and meaningful difference; in some cases, dhikr comes with dh, alif, and lām (Ṣād: 1-2), in some, it is unknown (nakarah) along with an adjective/attribute (Shu'arā’: 5), and in the third verse comes with lām (Zukhruf: 44). The literal inconsistency of dhikr in these verses causes its different meanings in such verses. On the other, dhikr in the verses of creation (‘Anbiya’: 2 and Shu’arā’: 5), comes with discriminating min, which again makes it semantically different from the first verses.

Combining the Qur’an of Letters and Words

A group of Abdul Jabbār’s arguments to prove that the Qur’an is “creation” is in terms of its combination of letters and sounds. Abdul Jabbār's statements are as follow:

[1] Abdul Jabbār uses the verse: “إِنَّمَا قَوْلُنَا لِشَيْءٍ إذَا أَرْزَعْنَاهُ أَنْ نَبْنِى مَاهُ كَانَ فَيُفْلِحُونَ” ([As for the Resurrection Day] When We [Allah, the Almighty] intend Something to come to existence, We Only state to it: "Be done!" and it comes to existence immediately) (Naḥl: 40), in three ways:
A. This verse is in the conditional context, that its “if clause” is “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” and the answer is “فَيَكُونُ”. The answer is late on the condition and based on it, so the word of God is late to His will and based on His will too, and hence it is “creation”;

B. “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” indicates “therefore, after, then”. Hence, the development after the word of God is performed without delay. As a result, the saying “فَيَكُونُ” is before the development (takwīn) and the development is “creation” due to the delay. Hence, the saying “فَيَكُونُ” by God is “creation” and consequently the Qur’an is “creation” as well, not pre-eternity;

C. “فَيَكُونُ” in this verse is composed of two letters of “k” and “n”, respectively one after the other. As a result, the word “فَيَكُونُ” is “creation” (Abdul Jabbār, nd: p. 103). Also in the sentence “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” is tāmmah (complementary) meaning both creation and existence. That is, as soon as God commands the object will happen (Zamakhsharī, 1407 AH: vol. 2, p. 606). So the letters and sounds of the Qur’an are “creation”, not pre-eternity.

Critique: The above argument and its three interpretations are based on the order and precedence or delay of the Qur’anic speech in the verse. However, in the traditions of Imam Reza(AS)it is denied to suppose the word of God as literal, but it is interpreted as the will of God in the creation of objects: “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” (Kulaynī, 1407 AH: vol. 1, p. 10): “But the will of God is the creation of Him, nothing else, because He says to it ‘be done’, so it becomes immediately, without the words, neither the speech of the tongue.” (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1378: vol. 1, p. 177): “When Allah wills something, He says to it to be done, then it becomes immediately, by His destiny and will”. In this sense, the word and command of God in the Qur’an means the creation of objects and the implement of God’s will and destiny to their creation. Divine will and destiny, therefore, is the precursor to the creation of objects. As, in some verses of the Qur’an, the creation of the heavens and the earth is attributed to the word “فَيَكُونُ” of God: “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” (Kulaynī, 1407 AH: vol. 1, p. 10). Also in the sentence “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” it is not literal but an allegory and a picture of the true meaning (Mīrdāmād, 1403: 322), and “فَيَكُونُ” is an interpretation of the relation of objects to the will of God and “فَيَكُونُ” is their being created (Nourī, 1383: vol. 3, p. 421). Because of God’s non-material being, His will and creation are not temporal as well. So, the condition of “إِذَا أَرَدْناهُ” and the answer “فَيَكُونُ” in “فَيَكُونُ” and the combination of “فَيَكُونُ” of the two letters “k” and “n”, do not imply temporal precedence and delay, and thus nor temporal creation, rather, the primacy of God’s essence and will over objects and creatures is a causal primacy, and in contrast, objects and creatures are inherent creations.4 Abdul Jabbār, therefore, makes no difference between the intrinsic and temporal creations in this argument, and falls into a fallacy between the two.

[2] God describes the Qur’an in some verses as: “مِن لَّدُن حَكِيمٍ خَبِيرٍ” This [Qur’an] is a Book Which its Words of Revelation are Decisive and have been expounded by Allah, the Absolute Aware Sovereign” (Hūd: 1). According to Abdul Jabbār, the Qur’an’s description as “ikhkām” hare indicates that it has been created (Abdul Jabbār, 1962, vol. 7, p. 89). For, “ikhkām” means having a firm order that never disappears (Zamakhsharī, 1407 AH: vol. 2, p. 377) and then it has been detailed. These descriptions show that the Qur’an is composed of surahs (chapters), verses, letters and phrases, and therefore is not “creation”.

---

4. The cause firstly exists inherently and naturally, and then the caused would be created. In contrast, the caused due to its intrinsic possibility requires the cause and so comes after it (Sabzevari, 1379: vol. 2, p. 308).
Critique: From the viewpoint of Imam Reza (AS), God is pre-eternal, not creature, for the created being due to non-former existence needs a cause: “إِنَّمَا نُسَمِّي اللَََّ بِالْعَالِمِ بِغَيْرِ عِلْمٍ حَادِثٍ عَلِمَ بِهِ وَ أَمَّا الْخَبِيرُ فَالَّذِي لََ يَعْزُبُ عَنْهُ شَيْ...” (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1398: p. 186). Imam Reza (AS) states that because of such a feature, God does not have the attributes of “creation”, rather He is free of the attributes of “creation”. Therefore, God, the Almighty, has the attribute of knowledge, life, power, etc. without attaching these attributes to a state of absence, such as ignorance, etc. For instance, Imam says about the attribute of knowledge: “إِنَّما نُسَمِّي الله بِالْعَالِمِ بِغَيْرِ عِلْمٍ حَادِثٍ عَلِمَ بِهِ...” (ibid), likewise, the creation of the word attribute of God in the case of God Himself has the same mistake. In addition, the following verse testified by Abdul Jabbar uses the word al-Khabīr attribute for Allah, which, according to Imam Reza (as)'s interpretation, the basis of Abdul Jabbar's reasoning would be broken down: وأَمَّا الْخَبِيرُ فَالَّذِي لََ يَعْزُبُ عَنْهُ شَيْ... “وَأَمَّا الْخَبِيرُ فَالَّذِي لََ يَعْزُبُ عَنْهُ شَيْ...” (Rāzī, 1986: vol. 1, p. 331). According to this saying, God refers to the attribute of Khabīr, but unlike the created beings, there is absolutely no loss in Him and no attribute of “creation”, such as the word, can be attributed to Him.

The Gradual Descent of the Qur’an

In several verses the Qur’an has been described as “tanzīl” and “munazzal”, for example some of them are as follow: "تَذْكِرَةً..." [O, Messenger! [This] Holy Book is sent down to you” (‘A’rāf: 2), "ذُکِرْنَ..." (Those are the Words of Revelation of the Holy Book. And what has been revealed to You [O, Messenger] from your Creator and Nurturer is the Truth” (Ra’d: 1), etc. The Qur’an’s description of such attributes proves to be “creation” (Rāzī, 1986: vol. 1, p. 254), because it proves the “creation” and “happening” of the Qur’an at a specific time, before when the Qur’an was not existed.

Likewise, is the verse "تَّلْكَ آيَاتُ الْكِتَابِ وَالَّذِيَ أُنزِلَ إِلَيْكَ مِن رَّبِّكَ الْحَقّ مُصَدِّقاً لِما بَيْنَ يَدَيْهِ وَ أَنْ..." (The One Who has sent down the [Holy] Book to you [O, Messenger] based on the Truth confirming what came before It; and He has sent down the Taurat and the Injil aforetime, a Guidance for the people” (‘Ali ‘Imran: 3). The Qur'an's description of “inzāl” (descent) and its truth imply that the Qur'an was not created but pre-eternal, for the descent of the pre-eternity is impossible. According to this verse, the revelation of the Qur'an was after the Torah and the Gospel, and so it was “creation” (Abdul Jabbar, nd: 140). Also according to the verse "وَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِ كِتابُ مُوسى..." (and He is preceded by the Book of Mussa) (Hūd: 17), the Torah precedes the Qur'an, while procedure and delay are related to the created object, not the pre-eternal one (Abdul Jabbar, 1962: vol. 7, p. 88).

Next interpretation of this argument is quoted in another verse: "وَ لَامْنَعَنَا عَلَيكَ الْفُرُوجَ لِتَشْقَى..." (Ta. Ha, [O, Messenger!] We did not send down The Holy Qur'an to become a source of Distress and hardship for you, it is only a Reminder for the one who Fears [from the disobedience of Allah], This Holy Qur'an is descended from The Presence of the Creator of the earth and the lofty heavens” (Tāhā: 1-4). This verse describes the Qur'an as “tadhkerah” (reminder), that implies a particular position and therefore its being as “creation”. For if it is pre-eternal, the position is meaningless, for no particular purpose. Because the addressee of reminding is “creation” and so the Qur’an is “creation” too. Thus, the descent is the cause of reminding, and the creation of the descent proves the not-pre-eternal and then in relation to the pre-eternal in object is impossible (Abdul Jabbar, 1426: p. 488-489).
283). Basically, this needs to transfer it from a position to another position and consequently to be “creation”, as the pre-eternal object does not move or transfer (Rāzī, 1420: v. 14, p. 195).

Critique: Abdul Jabbār's reasoning here proves that the Qur'an was gradually revealed to the Prophet (AS) and was therefore “creation”. This is also emphasized by Imam Reza (AS): “إِنَّهُ لَقُرْآنٌ كَريِّمٌ في الزَّبُورِ وَ الْفَرْقَانِ وَ كُلُّ كِتَابٌ أُنزِلَ كانَ كَلاَمَ اللَّهِ نَزَّلَهُ لِلْعَالَمِينَ نُوراً وَ هُدًى وَ هُوَ كُلُّهَا مَحْفُوظٌ لَّكُمْ وَ هُوَ كُلُّهَا مُحْدَثٌ. فَلَوْنَ أَوْ يُحْدِثُ لوُلَدُ اللَّهِ وَ قَلَبًا ثَانيًا فَلَوْنَ أَوْ يُحْدِثُ كَلِمَتَ اللَّهِ لَّبَنَةً مِنْ ذِكْرٍ مِنْ ذِكْرِهِ إِلَّا اسْتَمَعُوهُ وَ هُمْ يَلْعَبُونَ وَ اللَّهُ أَحَدُّ الْكَبِيرِينَ” (Majlīṣī, 1403 AH: vol. 10, p. 344): “Torah, Gospel, Psalms, Forqan, and all revealed books were the word of Allah the Almighty. He has sent down it to the people as light and guide. It is thoroughly created and is not Allah Himself, like what He says or creates for them as reminder. He says: ‘what they take of the reminder while they are playing. Allah has created all books when he sent down”. These arguments however do not prove that the truth of the Qur'an is the same words and sounds and has other truth. For the word of the Creator is fundamentally different from the word of the creature: “كِتَابٌ مَكْنُونٌ لِسَنَةٍ كَانَ كَلاَمَ اللَّهِ تَعَالَى أَنْزَلَهُ لِلْعَالَمِينَ نُوراً (ibid): “the word of the Creator to the creature is not like the word of the creature to the creature”. It has been stated previously that according to Shi'ite traditions, the word of God is not the same and has different degrees (Mullā Ṣadrā, 1366: vol. 6, p. 23). Some Qur'anic verses also indicate this fact, such as: “إِنَّا نُحْفِظُ كَلِمَةً مَكْنُونَةً”， “That is the most honorable Divine Text. [Taken] From the Preserved Tablet” (Wāq'ah: 77-78): “That We did send this Qur'an in Arabic Language in order that you be able to understand it and therefore ponder About its meanings. And verily, Qur'an is taken from The Mother of the Book which is with Us, and it is the Exalted Wisdom- Teaching Book” (Zukhruf: 3-4). These various attributes are because of the different degrees of the Qur'an and the divine word attribute of which the highest status is the essence of God and the lowest is in the form of the words and sounds (Mullā Ṣadrā, 1981: vol. 7, p. 38). These verses also indicate the non-temporal creation of the Qur'an and not-confining it in the words and sounds, for the Qur'an’s description is reserved for its high attributes and its preserved (mahnin) status is for its existence in the preserved (mahfūz) tablet (Tabātabāyī, 1417 AH: vol. 19, p. 137). The other verse expresses also the existence of the Qur'an in Umm al-Kitāb before Allah (ladaynā).

In conclusion, the descent and sending down of the Qur'an at a particular time and therefore its “creation” do not contradict the multiple degrees of the Qur'an, so that some Qur'anic verses testify it. On the other side, according to the arguments of Abdul Jabbār, in this part the attribute of the Word of God is gradually occurring, and therefore His existence is “temporal creation”. Also, since the created object needs to have not existed before, God lacks the attribute of the word before the revelation of the Qur'an or other holy scriptures. The necessity of lack is not also dependent to “creation” or “pre-eternity” of a being. Imam Reza (AS) considers God and his attributes as pre-eternal, not created. Therefore, God is continually attributed to His attributes, including the Word: “الْقُرْآنُ كَلاَمُ اللَّهِ لَا تَتَّخِذُ لَهُ اسْمًا مِنْ ذِكْرِهِ إِلَّا اسْتَمَعُوهُ وَ هُمْ يَلْعَبُونَ وَ اللَّهُ أَحَدُ الْكَبِيرِينَ” (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1398: p. 244): “Al-Qur'an is the word of Allah, so don’t name it from yourself. If you do that, you will go astray”. Regarding the context of this tradition, it is intended to refer to the Qur'an as a “noumenal”, to believe in it as “creation” and to call it “created”.

God's Orders in the Qur'an

Abdul Jabbār's proofs in this part are divided in two: the command of God to do something in opposition to His prohibition and the command of God in the sense of His act. From the point of view of this Mu'tazīlī thinker, both are proofs of the “creation” of the Qur'an:
[1] In the Qur'an, in many cases an order is issued. The owner and addressee of the order and prohibition must be present when issuing. It would be pointless if the order and prohibition were pre-eternal, but the addressee was created. As if someone in a meeting tells a person to stand up and sit down, but no one was there. It is nonsense to say it and the speaker is considered as mad. So how can one prove this meaning about God! How can it be rationally correct to say: “Verily, I am your Creator and Nurturer! So put off your shoes: You are now in The Sacred Valley of Tuwa” (Tāhā: 12), but there was no Moses (AS) and no one else there (Abdul Jabbār, nd: p. 489). For this reason, God's command to read and recite in the verse “[O, Messenger!] Recite in the Name of Allah Who is your Creator and Nurturer and Who created all creatures of The universe” (‘Alaq: 1) requires “creation” of the material (Abdul Jabbār, 1962: vol. 7, p. 90). If someone declares the quality of things in eternity (azal) and such statements are informing the speaker himself, it would be futile. Because people are not in eternity due to their creation nature and it is not right to address a non-existence object. If such statements are not intended to be news about themselves or others, it would still be futile (Rāzī, 1986: vol. 1, p. 254-256), for addressing needs a definite and specific addressee.

According to Abdul Jabbār, all Qur’anic addressing states, such as “هو الالّي خلق لكل ما في الأرض جميعا”: “He is the One Who created for you all things that are on the earth [as means of provision and comfort]” (Baqarah: 29), “[O, Messenger!] Proclaim the Message That has been sent down to you from Your Creator and Nurturer!” (‘An’ām: 67), and “يا يا الذين آمنوا استعنوا بِالصبرِ وَ الصَّلاة اِنَّ اللَّهِ مع الصادرين” “O, you who believe! Seek help [from Allah] through patience and prayer” (Baqarah: 153) also refer to the Qur’an “creation” (Abdul Jabbār, nd: 732). Because being “creation” of the addressee indicates that the Qur’an is not pre-eternal and so it is created.

Critique: Abdul Jabbār's reasoning in this section, confronts with numerous Qur’anic verses that make the whole of his reasoning as nonacceptable, i.e. some of the Qur’anic injunctions and their addressees are not temporal creation, such as Nahl: 40. Because according to this verse, creatures are immediately made available through Divine Will and the creation of God does not require the attributes of created and temporal affairs (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1378: vol. 1, p. 119). According to this verse and the reasoning of Imam Reza (AS), there is no existence before Allah’s order of kun (be), and so the argument of Abdul Jabbār about the mutual being of Qur’anic address is incorrect.

As Abdul Jabbār considers that the existence of talking is required to two sides (speaker and addressee), this reasoning results in the need of God in His word attribute to the created beings. For, as long as there is no creature, the Divine Word will not make sense, whereas God has all the attributes of perfection and has no lack of His attributes. In this respect, according to Imam Reza (AS)’s hadith, the word attribute is like other attributes of perfection, such as knowledge, that God in eternity and without pre-knowledge possesses it: “لم يُؤْلِ اللَّهُ عَالِمًا بِالْبَيْنَاتِ كُلَّ اِسْتِفْقَانٍ وَ كَلِمَةً كَلِمَةً يَقُولُهَا اللَّهُ” (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1398: p. 191-192): “Allah has known all things before He created them...” and also this narration: “سَأَلْتُ أَبَا الْحَسَنِ الرَّسُولَ ﷺ هَلْ كَانَ اللَّهُ عَارِفاً بِنَفْسِهِ قَبْلَ أَنْ يَخْلُقَ الْخَلْقَ” “You say: ‘Verily, Allah is your Creator and Nurturer and Who created all creatures of The universe’ (‘Alaq: 1), but there was no Moses (AS) and no one else there (Abdul Jabbār, nd: p. 489). For this reason, God's command to read and recite in the verse “[O, Messenger!] Recite in the Name of Allah Who is your Creator and Nurturer and Who created all creatures of The universe” (‘Alaq: 1) requires “creation” of the material (Abdul Jabbār, 1962: vol. 7, p. 90). If someone declares the quality of things in eternity (azal) and such statements are informing the speaker himself, it would be futile. Because people are not in eternity due to their creation nature and it is not right to address a non-existence object. If such statements are not intended to be news about themselves or others, it would still be futile (Rāzī, 1986: vol. 1, p. 254-256), for addressing needs a definite and specific addressee.

[2] The command (‘amr) of God is fulfilled (passive): “و كَانَ أَمْرُ اللَّهِ مَقْدُورًا” “And verily, Allah's Command is an Ordained matter” (Aḥzāb: 38), the creator and maker is “maqdūr”. So, maqdūr is not existed and then becomes created, i.e. “creation”. The command of God is therefore “creation”, not “pre-eternity”.

Critical Review of the Mu’tazili Theory of the Creation (Hudūth) of the Qur’an in Qādi Abd al-Jabbar’s Opinion, Regarding Imam Reza’s Narrations
Critique: From the point of view of Imam Reza (AS), the Qur'anic injunctions are not always with the words unless the Qur'anic creation is necessary: "... إِحْكَامِ عِدَّتِهَا فِعْلاً مِنْهُ كَقَوْلِهِ عَزَّ وَ جَلَّ كُنْ فَيْلَوْنَ وَ كُنْ مِنْهُ " (Ibn Bābiwayh: 1378: vol. 1, p. 173), for to perform the God's orders and their being destined (muqaddar), which is performed by the command of “be” (क़न), are related to the genuine and outward affairs, not words and sounds. If the actions of God are temporal, there will be a time gap between the Creator and the creature, and the creature will exist after a supposed time. Therefore, the criterion of time abstraction will be the essence of God. Whereas God is pre-eternal and is not subject to time: "قَدِيمٌ لَمْ يَتَغَيَّرْ عَزَّ وَ جَلَّ بِخَلْقِهِ الْخَلْق" (Ibn Bābiwayh, 1378: vol. 1, p. 171). On the other, time is the amount of motion of a material object; the assumption of the existence of time before the creation and implementation of God requires the existence of a material being (Ṭābātābāyī, nd: 63). In particular, Imam Reza (AS) uses the definitions of "al-ṣun'" and "al-'ibdā’"5 in order to prove that God's commands are not always literal and are created in some verses. There is another witness in other verses, such as: "وَ مَا أَمْرُ فِرْعَوْنَ بِرَشِيدٍ " (Hūd: 97) (Rāzī, 1420 AH: vol. 10, p. 97).

Existence of Abrogation in the Qur’an

The Islamic Community has a consensus on the existence of abrogation in the Qur’an. The abrogation means making a decree either ended or expanded after it has been established. Therefore, according to the abrogation, the command or address of God in the Qur’an towards something or someone will be lost after its establishment. Disappearance also means being not pre-eternal, but created (Rāzī, 1986: vol. 1, p. 257).

According to Abdul Jabbār, the possibility of abrogation (ibdāl and change) and forgetfulness implies to the creation of the Qur’an: "ما نَنْسَخْ مِنْ آيَةٍ أَوْ نُنْسِها نَأْتِ بِخَيْرٍ مِنْها أَوْ مِثْلِها " (None of Our Decrees do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, unless We Substitute something better or similar” (Baqarah: 106). Because attributing a pre-eternal object to such features is impossible (Abdul Jabbār, nd: 103). On the other, if necessary, God converts a word or decree of a verse to another (Zamakhsharī, 1407 AH: vol. 1, p. 176).

Also, the Qur’anic verses referring to change and convert, such as: "نَحْنُ خَلَقْناهُمْ وَ شَدَدْنا أَسْرَهُمْ وَ إِذا شِئْنا بَدَّلْنا أَمْثالَهُمْ تَبْدِيلاً" :"We did indeed create them and We gave Them a great deal of strength, but if We Will [despite their strength] will bring Another nation in their place” (Insān: 28), and when We abrogate a Word of Revelation with another one” (Naḥl: 101), and those verses speak about the impossible of producing something like Qur’an, including the verse: "مَثَّلَ هَذَا القُرْآنَ لَ يَأْتُونَ بِمِثْلِهِ وَ لَوْ كانَ بَعْضُهُمْ لِبَعْضٍ ظَهِيراً" :"Say [O, Messenger!] ‘If all mankind and Jinns should get together to produce The like of this Qur'an, they could not Produce its like, though they receive Assistance from one another’” (Ihsār: 88), all indicate to the "creation" of the Qur’an. Because it is impossible to describe the pre-eternal object with such traits (Abdul Jabbār, 1962: vol. 7, p. 89). In other words, change and convert about an object is created not pre-eternal, as well as the gathering of jinn and man to do something is only regarded to a created object not a pre-eternal one. The pre-eternal object is not caused (ma’lūl) and created by a created one.

Critique: Imam Reza (AS), in a hadith that he was asked about the next Imam, interpreted the verse Baqarah: 106 as related to the succession of the Imam: "صَاحِبُكَ بَعْدِي أَبُو مُحَمَّدٍ ابْنِي وَ عِندَهُ مَا تَحْتَاجُونَ إِلَيْهِ يُقَدِّمُ مَا يَشَاءُ اللَّهَ وَ يُؤَخِّرُ مَا يَشَاءُ اللَّهَ ما نَنْسَخْ مِنْ آيَةٍ..." (Kulaynī, 1407 AH, vol. 1, p. 328). In other hadiths,
conversion in the Qur’an is considered to be an abrogation, which, according to the hadith of Imam Reza (AS), can also be related to the issue of the succession of the Imam.

Regarding what has been said above from Imam Reza (AS), it may be concluded that the word (speech), like power, is the attribute of God and there is no difference between them. The power of God has eternally belonged to the creation of the universe. Hence, the abrogation is not in the genetic affairs, because the possibility of change, conversion, and abrogation of them is impossible due to the constant attachment of God’s power. As a result, these affairs are in the legal literal, commands, and prohibitions and then prove the existence of abrogation, temporal creation of words and legal injunctions.

Another point is that the belief in the creation of the attribute of the word and the Qur’an in terms of abrogating, changing and transforming, brings about the changeability and the creation of the attribute of the word and its attributed, namely the essence of God. However, according to Imam Reza (AS), God is pre-eternal, not created.

Conclusion

According to Imam Reza (AS)’s sayings, the Mu’tazilīs’ theory of the creation of the Qur'an is criticized in many aspects, which was examined in the present research. Findings are as follow:

If the Qur’an and the word attribute be created, the pre-eternal necessary existence will need something to happen in its word feature. Also, depending on the attribution and the attributed, which always come together, either God is created or His attributes, such as word, become pre-eternal.

The created object needs the lack of time. Therefore, according to Abd al-Jabbār's theory, before the descent of the Qur'an or other revealed scriptures, God would not have the attribute of the Word and therefore would be the possible existence. Addressing also entails the addressee. Hence, Abd al-Jabbār’s reasoning results the need of God in His Word attribute to the created beings. In addition, to argue the composition of the attribute of the word, it is required to state that the essence of God is not simple, while composition is the feature of possible and created beings, not the pre-earternals.

It is impossible for the genetic affairs to be changed, converted, and abrogated, due to the constant attachment of the power of God to them. They include legal words, commands, and prohibitions. Abrogating proves the temporal creation of words and legal injunctions, and so does not indicate to the creation of the true affair that refers to the words.
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