International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding

http://ijmmu.com
editor@ijmmu.com
ISSN 2364-5369
Volume 12, Issue 7
July, 2025
Pages: 310-327

The Effects of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth: An Empirical Study on the Indian Economy

Hamidullah Furmolly

Master of Economics from the University of Ankara, Turkey

http://dx.doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v12i7.6996

Abstract

Investment is widely recognized as a key driver of economic growth and development. In recent decades, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has emerged as a significant characteristic of the global economy, with a notable increase in FDI flows since the 1970s. This trend has made FDI a crucial element of growth strategies in both developed and developing countries. For developing nations, FDI is particularly important as a primary source of capital accumulation, especially in areas facing capital shortages. Developing countries typically address their capital needs through borrowing and FDI. While borrowing can be costly, FDI provides additional advantages, such as technology transfer, improved management practices, and valuable information, all contributing to economic development. FDI positively impacts growth processes, international business, and human capital accumulation. This research investigates the relationship between FDI and economic growth in India using a simple linear regression model with time series data from 1970 to 2013. The analysis employs E-Views and Excel, incorporating unit root tests for stationarity, the Zivot-Andrews structural break test, and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression. The findings reveal a positive relationship between FDI flows and economic growth in India, particularly since the economic reforms of the early 1990s, highlighting FDI's significant role in promoting economic growth in the country.

Keywords: *Foreign Direct Investment; Economic Growth; India; Empirical Study*

1. Introduction

Background

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has emerged as a critical driver of economic growth in developing countries, particularly in India. Since the liberalization of the Indian economy in the early 1990s, FDI has gained significant prominence as a catalyst for economic transformation. The Indian government has implemented a series of reforms aimed at attracting foreign investment, recognizing its potential to stimulate economic activity, create jobs, and enhance technological capabilities.

FDI serves as a vital source of capital, addressing the investment needs of various sectors within the economy. Beyond mere capital inflows, FDI facilitates the transfer of advanced technology and management expertise, which are essential for improving productivity and competitiveness in the global market. This transfer of knowledge and skills not only enhances the operational efficiency of domestic firms but also fosters innovation and drives economic diversification.

The positive impact of FDI on economic growth is well-documented, with studies indicating that it contributes to higher Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rates, increased employment opportunities, and improved infrastructure development. Moreover, FDI plays a crucial role in integrating the Indian economy into the global market, enhancing trade relations, and attracting further investment.

Understanding the multifaceted impact of FDI on economic growth is essential for formulating effective policies that promote sustainable development. Policymakers must consider the dynamics of FDI flows, the sectors that benefit most, and the long-term implications for economic stability and growth. As India continues to navigate its development path, leveraging FDI will be key to achieving its economic goals and enhancing the overall well-being of its population.

"Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has emerged as a critical driver of economic growth in developing countries. In the context of India, FDI has gained prominence since the liberalization of the economy in the early 1990s. The Indian government has implemented various reforms to attract foreign investment, recognizing its potential to stimulate economic activity, create jobs, and enhance technological capabilities. FDI not only brings capital but also facilitates the transfer of technology and management expertise, which are essential for improving productivity and competitiveness in the global market. Understanding the impact of FDI on economic growth is essential for formulating effective policies that promote sustainable development."

Research Question

The past two centuries have been a period of significant development and change in various fields of human life. Among these developments and changes, a crucial and noteworthy factor that has transformed people's lives and their environment is the economy. In other words, economic growth and development are issues that deeply concern society as a whole. Throughout history, states and nations have strived to achieve this goal, yet more than half of the world's population has failed to reach it.

From this perspective, a classic question arises: Why have some nations become very wealthy while others have remained poor? Simply put, why have some countries developed significantly in recent centuries while others have lagged far behind in economic growth and development? This imbalance between countries is the primary reason for the emergence of various models of economic growth and development.

Therefore, the factors that will achieve and influence economic growth and development have always been the focal point of economists' and researchers' studies. For instance, since the 1970s, a significant topic that has drawn researchers' attention is whether foreign direct investments (FDI) have an impact on growth.

The main question of this research is whether foreign direct investments truly affect economic growth. In other words, this thesis aims to contribute to the existing FDI literature by attempting to provide appropriate answers to the research question through the application of time series analysis. In this context, the answers to the research question regarding whether FDIs contribute to economic growth have been investigated, taking into account the structure of FDIs in the Indian economy and their absorptive capacities. Most empirical studies found in the literature have determined that FDIs are a variable that positively influences economic growth across different economies.

Objectives

"This study seeks to answer the following research question: What is the impact of FDI on economic growth in India?"

"The primary objectives of this study are to analyze the relationship between FDI inflows and GDP growth in India and to identify the key factors that mediate this relationship. Additionally, the study aims to provide insights into the role of government policies in attracting FDI and the implications for economic development. In another word This study aims to systematically examine the correlation between Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflows and the growth of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in India, utilizing time series data to identify trends and patterns over the past few decades.

2. Literature Review

Overview of Existing Research

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) is a complex concept that encompasses more than just the movement of physical capital across borders. The term "direct" signifies the transfer of technology and managerial expertise that accompanies capital, while "foreign" indicates investments made by entities outside the national borders of a country. Essentially, FDI involves the acquisition of firms, the provision of startup capital for new enterprises, or the augmentation of capital for existing businesses. Thus, FDIs represent investments made by firms in one country into firms in another, bringing along not only financial resources but also technology and managerial control (Arluk, 2002:466).

FDIs serve as a vital mechanism for technology transfer from developed to developing countries, promoting local investments and facilitating the growth of institutions and human capital in the host nation. The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) categorizes FDI into three main types: capital, reinvested earnings, and other capital (UNCTAD, 2007; MOOSA, 2002:19). Capital refers to funds used to establish new companies or invest in existing ones outside the investor's home economy. Reinvested earnings are profits from foreign direct investments that are reinvested in the host country rather than repatriated. Other capital includes investments arising from long- and short-term borrowing between the parent company and the invested entity.

In a broader sense, FDI encompasses the establishment of new facilities, mergers and acquisitions, and the reinvestment of profits from overseas operations. In a narrower context, it specifically refers to the construction of new facilities (Sirari and Bohra, 2011:10-18). UNCTAD defines FDIs as physical investments made by investors from one country in another, aimed at obtaining lasting interests and control over entities operating outside their home economies (UNCTAD, 1999:465).

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) define FDIs as investments made by firms in one country into firms in another through the purchase of existing firms, providing initial capital for new firms, or increasing the capital of existing firms. These investments inherently include the investor's control authority, along with technology and managerial knowledge. In summary, FDIs are integral to a country's national financial accounts and can be characterized as the establishment of production facilities abroad or the acquisition of existing production facilities (Şafaklı, 2005).

2.1. Types of Foreign Direct Investments

FDIs are not solely about capital movement; they also involve the transfer of technology, management skills, and other tangible and intangible assets, along with control over these assets. Unlike portfolio investors, foreign direct investors maintain significant control over the management of their foreign partnerships. Investors can establish a subsidiary in a foreign country or invest in existing companies, thereby gaining voting rights and control over those entities (Thomas A and Peter H, 2000).

UNCTAD classifies FDIs into several categories, with the most significant being greenfield investments, cross-border mergers and acquisitions, and reinvested earnings. Greenfield investments involve the establishment of new firms, where productive assets are created in the host country, typically financed by capital brought in by the investor (World Investment Report, 2009). Cross-border mergers and acquisitions refer to the transfer of ownership of local productive assets to foreign investors. Reinvested earnings occur when foreign investors reinvest their profits in the host country rather than repatriating them.

Another classification approach categorizes FDIs based on their objectives: market-seeking, export-oriented, and government-initiated investments. Market-seeking FDIs are driven by factors such as growth potential, the size of the national market, regional access opportunities, and consumer preferences (Accolle, 1997). Export-oriented investments are those where foreign firms produce goods for sale in non-local markets. Government-initiated FDIs occur when developing country governments actively invite foreign investors to specific sectors to address socio-economic challenges like unemployment and regional imbalances. In this model, the government plays a proactive role in promoting foreign direct investments (UNCTAD, 2007).

In conclusion, Foreign Direct Investments are a multifaceted phenomenon that plays a crucial role in the global economy. They not only facilitate capital movement but also promote technology transfer, enhance managerial skills, and contribute to the development of local economies. Understanding the various types and classifications of FDIs is essential for policymakers and investors alike, as they navigate the complexities of international investment and its implications for economic growth and development.

2.2. Gaps in Literature

"Despite the extensive research on FDI, there is a lack of empirical studies specifically focusing on the Indian context, particularly in the post-liberalization era. This study aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of the impact of FDI on India's economic growth. Furthermore, the existing literature often overlooks the role of sectoral differences in FDI impact, which this study will address by examining various sectors of the Indian economy."

3. Methodology

Research Design

"This study employs an empirical approach, utilizing a quantitative research design to analyze the relationship between FDI and economic growth in India. The research design is structured to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings." In another word The methodology for analyzing the impact of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on economic growth using time series analysis typically involves several key steps. First, researchers collect time series data on FDI and economic growth indicators, such as GDP, over a specified period. This data is then subjected to preliminary analyses to assess its characteristics, including trends and seasonality.

Next, the Zivot-Andrews test is employed to examine the presence of unit roots in the time series data, allowing for the identification of structural breaks. This test is particularly useful as it accounts for potential shifts in the data that may affect the relationship between FDI and economic growth. By identifying these structural breaks, researchers can better understand how changes in economic policy, global market conditions, or other external factors may influence the dynamics of FDI and growth.

Following the identification of structural breaks, researchers typically proceed with model specification and estimation. This may involve using autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) models or vector autoregression (VAR) models to capture the relationships between variables. Diagnostic testing is crucial at this stage to ensure the validity of the model, checking for issues such as autocorrelation, heteroscedasticity, and multicollinearity.

Finally, the analysis may explore causality between FDI and economic growth using techniques such as Granger causality tests. This comprehensive approach allows researchers to draw more robust conclusions about the impact of FDI on economic growth, considering both the temporal dynamics and structural changes within the data. Overall, this methodology provides a nuanced understanding of how FDI influences economic growth over time.

3.1. Data Sources

"The analysis is based on time-series data collected from various sources, including the Reserve Bank of India, World Bank, and Ministry of Finance, covering the period from 1970 to 2013. The data includes FDI inflows, GDP growth rates, inflation rates, interest rates, and other relevant economic indicators."

3.2. Analytical Techniques

"Regression analysis is employed to assess the relationship between FDI inflows and GDP growth. The model controls for other variables such as inflation, interest rates, and government spending to isolate the effect of FDI. The study uses multiple regression techniques to ensure robustness in the findings, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and other econometric methods."

3.3. Interpretation of the Empirical Study

In this research, an effort has been made to provide a unique perspective on the growth effects of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in the Indian economy by examining its absorptive capacities. The time series analysis conducted in accordance with the previously established framework has been presented in a manner that aligns well with the objectives of the thesis. In this context, the data analysis has explored potential answers to the research question at hand. The Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation method, which is used in solving simple linear regression models, serves as the foundation for solving simple equation econometric models.

Accordingly, this study aims to determine whether there is a relationship between foreign direct investments in India and economic growth during the period from 1970 to 2013. By analyzing the relationship between the gross domestic product (GDP), which is considered a key indicator of economic growth during this period, and foreign direct investments (FDI), the study investigates how FDI influences India's economic growth. The relationships between the relevant variables are estimated using Eviews 7.1, 8.1, R, and STATA software packages. To avoid falling into spurious regression and to obtain more reliable results, the stationarity of the series is tested.

Using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test, the series for foreign direct investments and gross domestic product are subjected to analysis using level, trend-stationary, and no-trend models. The results of the ADF unit root test indicate that both the GDP and FDI series are stationary at the first difference in both trend-stationary and no-trend models at the 5%, 1%, and 10% significance levels.

As is well known in the literature, time series variables can exhibit stationary characteristics around a deterministic trend during various sub-periods of the analysis period. These sub-periods can be affected by structural changes in the constant term and slope parameters, and conducting a unit root test without considering these structural changes can lead to erroneous results and reduce the power of the test. Therefore, the structural break test developed by Zivot and Andrews (1992) is applied to the GDP and FDI series. The results of the test indicate that the null hypothesis is rejected at the 5% and 10% significance levels in both stationary and trend-stationary models, confirming that there are no structural breaks in the series.

The two variables, GDP and FDI, are found to have the same integration levels, meaning they are integrated of the same order, I(0), when taken at the first difference. This indicates that there are both short-term and long-term relationships between the series. More explicitly, it suggests that there is a long-term relationship between the GDP and FDI variables without the need for VAR analysis.

As seen from the regression of the stationary series, the Durbin-Watson test statistic value is low, prompting a diagnostic test on the regression. Consequently, the Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test is applied to the series. The results of the test indicate that there is serial correlation between the first and fifth observations, both graphically and statistically. Therefore, since we cannot reject the null hypothesis, it is necessary to correct for serial correlation. The method for correcting serial correlation involves taking the first or second difference of the dependent variable and including it alongside the independent variable for re-estimation. In this study, the existing serial correlation in the first and fifth observations is corrected.

As shown in the table, the results of the first model estimated using the OLS method indicate that the t-values for the FDI and GDP variables are statistically significant at all three levels. Additionally, the F-test reveals a statistically significant relationship between GDP and FDI. The R^2 value is also high and significant, indicating that the independent variable has a meaningful effect on the dependent variable.

Thus, from the coefficient of the independent variable in the equation, it can be observed that the flow of foreign direct investments has a positive effect on the GDP growth rate. Econometrically speaking, a one-point increase in the flow of FDI during the period from 1970 to 2013 results in a 0.11-point increase in growth. While the results of the econometric estimations generally align with the literature, they also show similar outcomes to many other studies. In simple terms, a strong positive relationship has been identified between foreign direct investments and economic growth in India. In summary, the flow of foreign direct investments in India contributes to economic growth.

4. Conclusion

As previously mentioned, it is argued that investment plays a crucial role as the engine of growth in all economic growth theories and models. Accordingly, the topic of investment holds significant importance in the decisions of politicians, aiming to attract sufficient capital for financing economic projects in every society. The presence of foreign direct investments (FDI) at the international level has become an important factor in promoting economic growth, especially for developing countries that struggle to accumulate capital.

In other words, the new arrangements and developments that occurred worldwide in the 1980s, such as the collapse of the Eastern Bloc and the Berlin Wall in the West, the transition to a new economic regime, and China's opening up to the world in the East, have accelerated globalization movements. As a result, closed or semi-closed economies have begun to open their borders to foreign investments. The flow of foreign direct investments has become a significant tool for achieving globalization. At the same time, foreign investments, particularly FDI, have made substantial progress in playing a noticeable role in the economic growth and development of countries.

Thus, foreign direct investments have become a factor that accelerates economic growth and is among the determinants of growth, especially for underdeveloped countries. As noted in most studies in the literature, foreign direct investments are considered one of the important factors for growth in nearly all economic growth models. In particular, it is claimed that FDI will significantly contribute to long-term growth through technological externalities, educational services, increases in a country's R&D capacity, and technical knowledge stock. Empirical studies have widely shown that the relationship between foreign direct investments and economic growth is significant and positively impacts growth. Therefore, for countries receiving investments, the flow of foreign direct investments not only brings capital but also enhances economic growth by increasing production efficiency through the transfer of advanced technology and management skills, positively affecting employment, exports, and income levels.

However, despite these benefits, the increasing movement of foreign direct investments has also brought about many discussions and counterarguments. For instance, as discussed in the second part of this study, criticisms have emerged regarding the view that FDI accelerates growth, suggesting that the flow of FDI may hinder the economic growth of the host country. For example, the activities of multinational corporations (MNCs) can replace local firms, making it difficult for them to compete with foreign companies, thereby negatively affecting the growth of local firms. Additionally, it is argued that if the regulations in the host country do not comply with international standards, FDI may lead to capital transfer from developing countries to developed countries. There are also discussions focused on the erosion of national values and the ownership rights that fall into the hands of foreigners. In simple terms, FDI represents not only the multinational companies making these investments but also the home countries of these companies. Consequently, the loss of ownership rights due to FDI can transfer not only to a multinational company but also to an entirely different country. In this context, it has been discussed that restrictions on the flow of FDI should be imposed in many developing countries. For example, the Indian government addressed the issue of imposing certain restrictions and regulations on foreign direct investments before the recent economic reforms.

However, in recent times, to benefit more from the positive effects of FDI, these restrictions and regulations have been significantly relaxed, and it has generally been believed that foreign direct investments are a creative factor for economic growth. The optimistic policies pursued by India towards FDI since 2001 and the economic growth rates achieved by China from 1980 to the present are significant developments in demonstrating the positive effects of foreign direct investment flows.

This thesis aims to contribute to the existing FDI literature by providing appropriate answers to the research question through the application of time series data analysis and to clarify the relationship between foreign direct investment and growth in the context of India. According to the study, foreign direct investments affect economic growth in the following categories: i) FDI inflows expand the capital accumulation of the host country and increase employment; ii) FDI increases the host country's exports and foreign trade volume; iii) FDI can bring management knowledge, know-how, qualified labor for the international production network, and brand-specific resources to the host country; iv) FDI leads to technology transfer and positive externalities. Therefore, the effects of FDI on the host country's economy can be evaluated in terms of growth-related factors such as direct growth or investment, human capital, exports, and technology.

For the empirical examination, the Indian economy has been taken as a case study. Based on the experiences of economies that have achieved economic growth through FDI, it has been discussed that certain prerequisites are necessary for FDI to enhance economic growth. Accordingly, it is stated that for growth to be achieved, the country must possess a certain level of human capital, be open to the outside world, have developed financial markets, and ensure macroeconomic and political stability. Additionally, as previously mentioned, multinational companies considering direct investment abroad expect the economy they are entering to possess the following characteristics: a large market, political and economic stability, low labor costs.

5. Key Findings

"The results indicate a significant positive correlation between FDI inflows and GDP growth in India. Specifically, a 1% increase in FDI.

The correlation between FDI inflows and GDP growth in India is positive, with studies indicating that a 1% increase in FDI results in approximately a 0.02% increase in GDP. This relationship highlights the significant role of FDI in driving economic growth through various sectors.

5.1. Impact on Economic Growth

FDI inflows have been a crucial driver of economic growth in India, contributing to an increase in GDP.

The positive correlation suggests that as FDI increases, so does the overall economic output, indicating a strong link between foreign investments and national economic performance.

5.2. Sectoral Contributions

Different sectors benefit from FDI, with significant inflows observed in services, manufacturing, and technology.

The service sector, in particular, has attracted the highest FDI, which has been instrumental in enhancing productivity and creating jobs.

5.3. Long-term Economic Benefits

The influx of FDI not only boosts immediate economic activity but also lays the groundwork for sustainable long-term growth.

Investments in infrastructure, technology, and human capital development lead to improved competitiveness and innovation in the economy.

5.4. Policy Framework and Reforms

The Indian government's proactive policies, such as the "Make in India" initiative and liberalization of FDI norms, have significantly enhanced the investment climate.

Continuous reforms aimed at improving the ease of doing business have made India an attractive destination for foreign investors.

5.5. Future Projections

With ongoing reforms and a favorable investment environment, FDI inflows are expected to continue rising, further supporting GDP growth.

The target of attracting US$ 100 billion annually in FDI reflects the government's commitment to leveraging foreign investments for economic advancement.

Bio Statement

Hamidullah Furmolly is the Dean of the Faculty of Economics at a private University in Kabul, Afghanistan. He holds a Master of Science (M.Sc.) in Economics from Ankara University, Turkey. In addition to his role as Dean, he is a researcher and lecturer, with prior

Experience as an employee of the World Bank. His research interests include institutional

Economics, foreign direct investment (FDI), and macroeconomic policy in fragile states.

Resource

A.Froot, Kenneth and C.Sten Jeremy.,(1991),Exchange Rates and Foreing Direct Investment: An imperfect capital market approach. Harvard Collage and the Massachusetts Instituate of Technology. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol.106, No.4,pp.1191-1217,November.

Accolle, et al.,(1997),Economic Growth and Foreing Direct Investment. Addis Ababa university institutional repository. workin paper, No. 3213.

Adhia, Nimish.,(2013), The ole of Ideological Change In India's Economic Liberalization. The Journal of Socio-Economics, cilt:44 s.103–111.

Ahluwalia, Montek S.,(2002), Economic Reforms in India since 1991: Has Gradualism Worked? Journal of Economic Perspectives, vol. 16,No.3,pp. 67- 88.

Alesina, Alberto and Perotti, Roberto.,(1996),Fiscal Adjustments in OECD Coutries: Composition and Macroeconomic Effects. NBER Working paper series, No. 5730.

Alesina, Alberto, Sule Ozler, Nouriel Roubini and Phillip Swagel.,(1996), Political Instability and Economic Growth. Journal of Economic Growth, cilt 1,s. 2, s.189-211.

Alesina, Alberto.,(1997), The Political Economy of Macroeconomic Stabilizations and Income Inequality: Myths and Reality, in Tanzi, Vito and Ke-Young Chu, eds. Income Distribution and HighQuality Growth, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Alfaro, Laura.(2003), FDI and Economic Growth: the Role of Local Financial Mmarkets. Harvard Business School, cilt 23,s:263,s.1-31, April.

Altay, Oğuzhan.,(2008),Türkiye'de Kamu Harcamalarının Ekonomik Büyüme ve Yatırımlar üzerine Etkilerinin Analizi(1980-2005).Ege Akademik Bakış / Ege Academic Review, vol.8, No.1, pp. 267-285.

Anual report of Asıian Development Bank. Managment's Discussion, Analysis and Anuual Financial Statements.,(2012),URL: http://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/adb-annual-report-2012.pdf.

Aspromougos, Tony.,(1998), What is Classical Economics. The elgar Companion to Classical Economics, cilt 2,s.460, s.160-167.

Astudillo Miguel F, Thalwitz Gunnar and Vollrath Fritz.(2014), Life Cycle Assessment of Indian Silk. Journal of Cleaner Production, cilt 81,s: 158,s.167.

Baltagi, Badi.(2006),Econometrics.,(Talablo Reza ve Purmahr Baqiri, Çev).Tehran,2011.(World Press 4th edition. newyork).

Baro, Robert. J, McCleary and Rachel M.,(2003),Religion and Economic Growth. Harvard University.April8.URL:http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/barro/files/religion_and_economic_growth_2003.pdf.

Barro R.J.,(1990), "Government Spending In A Simple Model of Endogenous Growth".Journal of Political Economy. Vol 98,No 5, part 2.

Baskaran Venkatesan, Nachiappan Subramanian and Rahman Shams.,(2012), Indian Textile Suppliers' Sustainability Evaluation using the Grey Approach. Journal of home page Int. J. Production Economics, vol.135,pp. 647–658.

Bedi, Priyanka ve Kharband Ekta.,(2014), Analysis of Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment in India-Problems and Challenges. Global Journal of Finance and Management. ISSN 0975-6477 Vol. 6, No. 7, pp. 675-684.

Begg David, Fischer Stanley and Dornbush Rudiger.(1994),Economics. Eighth Edition. Published by McGraw-Hill Education Shoppenhangers Road Maidenhead Berkshire SL6 2QL.

Bénabou, R.,(1996),Inequality and Growth", pp. 11-74. in: B. S. Bernanke and J. Rotemberg (eds.), NBER Macroeconomics Annual, The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.

Benhabib, Jess and Rustichini, Aldo.,(1996), "Social Conflict and Growth". Journal of Economic Growth, Vol. 1, No. 1,pp.125-142.

Berthelemy, Jean-Claude and Demurger, Sylvie.,(2000), Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth: Theory and Application to China Review of Development Economics Vol.4,No. 2,pp.140-155.

Bhat, T.P.,(2013), Growth and Structural Changes in Indian Industry. Institute for Studies in Industrial Development (ISID)4, Institutional Area, Vasant Kunj Phase II, New Delhi Working PaperNo:110070.

Bitzenis, Aristidis, Tsitouras Antonis and Vlachos, Vasileios.,(2009), A Decisive FDI Obstacles as an Explanatory Reason for Limited FDI Inflows in an EMU member state: The case of Greece. The Journal of Socio-Economics, vol. 38,pp. 691–704.

Black, Fischer.,(1972),Capital Market Eguilibirum with Restricted Borrowing. university of Chicago press. the journal of business, vol,45,No.3,pp.444-455.

Blanc-Brude Fredric, Cookson Graham, Piesse Jenifer and Strange Roger.(1992), Is Fixed Investment The Key To Economic Growth:,National Bureanu of Economic research working paper, No:4436.

Blair John p and Reese Laura A.,(1999), Approaches To Economic Development. Previously published as article in Economic Development Quarterly 4 (November),pp. 361-370.

Blomstrom, M. and A. Kokko.,(2003), "The Economics of Foreign Direct Investment Incentives." NBER Working Paper, No. 9489.

Blomstrom, M. and A. Kokko.,(1996), "Multinational Corporations and Spillovers." Journal of Economic Surveys. Vo.l12.pp. 247-277.

Brady, David and Wallace, Michael.,(2000). Spatialization, Foreign Direct Investment, and Labor Outcomes in the American States, 1978-1996. Social Forces, Vol. 79, No. 1. pp. 67-105.

Buckley, Peter. J and Hashi Niron.,(2009),Formalizing Internationalization In the Eclectic Paradigm. Journal of International Business Studies. vol.40,pp. 58– 70.

Buckley, Peter. J and C, Casson Marc.,(1998), Analyzing Foreign Market Entry Strategies: Extending the Internalization. Journal of International Business Studies; Third Quarter vol. 29, No.3; ABI/INFORM Globalpg. 539.

Bulan Laarni, Mayer Chistopher, Somerville and C.Tsuriel.,(2009),Irreversible Investment, Real Options, And Competition: Evidence from Real Estate Development.Journal of Urban Economics.vol. 65, pp. 237-251.

Buse, Matthias and Hefeker, Carsten.,(2007) , Political Risk, Institutions And Foreign Direct Investment. European Journal of Political Economy, vol 23,No. 2,pp. 397-415.

Cabrera Levis R and Giraldo Germán E.,(2009), A Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis for the FDI in Latin American Countries. Department of Industrial EngineeringUniversity of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, Puerto Rico 00680, USA. Proceedings of the 2009 Industrial Engineering ResearchConference.URL:http://iienet2.org/uploadedFiles/IIE/Community/Te chnical_Societies_and_Divisions/Engineering_Economy/IIE24.pd.

Çakmak, Erol ve Gümü, Sevda.,(2002), Türkiye de Beşeri Sermaye Ve Ekonomik Büyüme: Ekonomitrik Bir Analız.1960-2002. Ankara Üniversitesi SBF Dergisi. Cilt:60,s:1,s.60-72.

Calderon, C. and L. Serven .,(2003), Macroeconomic Dimensions of Infrastructure in Latin America. Washington, DC.

Campante, Filipe and Yanagizawa-Drot David.,(2013), Does Religion Affect Economic Growth and Happiness? Evidence from Ramadan. Harvard Kennedy School working paper No.79.

Campos, Nauro F and Kinoshita Yuko.(2002), Foreign Direct Investment as Technology Transferred: Some Panel Evidence from the Transition Economies. Department of Economics, University of Newcastle, and Department of Economics, Hunter College, City University of New York, William Davidson Working Paper No. 438.

Cantwell, John and Narula Rajneesh.,(2003), International Business and The Eclectik Paradigam, The Kojima' Critisism ofTthe Eclectic Paradigam. library of congress,USA.

Carkovic, Levine.,(2004), Foreign Direct Investment And Economic Growth In Transition Economies. South Eastern Europe Journal of Economics, vol.1.pp. 97-110.

Carter, Hill. R,Griffiths, William and Lim, Guay C.,(2008), Principle of Econometrics third edition. Thomson Digital press.

Cerra, valerie and Chaman saxena, Sweta.,(2000), what caused the 1991 currency crisis in india. IMF working paper.

Charyya, B.Bhatta and Palaha Satınder.,(1996),Foreing Direct Investment In India: Facts And Issues. Indian Institute of Foreing Trade. B-21 Qutab International area. new Delhi, working paper No.110016.

Chatterjee, Suhita, Mishra Pulak and Chatterjee Bani.,(2013), Determinants of InterState Variations in FDI Inflows In India. Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics. vol.6,No.11,pp.93-120.

Chen Kun-Ming and Rau Hsiu-Hua.,(2004), Exchange Rate Movements and Foreign Direct Investment: Evidence from Taiwanese Investment into China. Department International Trade, National Chengchi University Working Paper Series, Vol.30.

Chowdhury, Supriya Roy.,(1995), Political Economy of India's Textile Industry: The Case of Maharashtra in 1984-89. Pacific Affairs, Vol. 68, No. 2 pp. 231-250

Chowdhury, Abdur and Mavrotas George.(2005), FDI and Growth: A Causal Relationship. UNU World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER): URL: http://www.rrojasdatabank.info/unurp05/rp2005- 25_1.pdf.

Cortuk, Orcan and Singh, Nirvikar.,(2011), Structural Change and Growth in India. Economics Letters, vol.110, pp.178–181.

Current Policy Issues and Future Orientation.,(1998), Indian Council Of Agrkultural Research, New Delhi, India and Indian Grassland And Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, India. World Development, Vol.26,No.6,pp.1089-1101.

Daniel, Shapiro and Steven Globerman .,(2002),Global Foreign Direct Investment Flows: The Role of Governance Infrastructure. World Development, Vol. 30,No. 11,pp. 1899-1919.

Das, Lawly and Raut, Rajesh.,(2014), Impact Of Changes In Service Sector In India In Shaping The Future of Business & Society. Procedia Economics and Finance, vol. 11,pp. 795 – 803.

David, Nieman Mark and Cameron, G.,(2011),Democracy, Property Rights, And Foreign Direct Investment, University of Iowa, working paper,No.20.

Dellepiane, Avellaneda and Sebastian.,(2006),Good Governance, Institutions and Economic Development: Beyond the Conventional Wisdom Paper to be presented at the Forum de Recerca, Departament de Ciencies Politiques i Socials, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona 3 de. avilibale at: www.researchgate.net.

DPT.,(2003), İllerin Ve Bölgelerin Sosyo-ekonomik Gelişmişlik SıralamasıAraştırması. Bölgesel Gelişme ve Yapısal Uyum Genel Müdürlüğü, No: 2671, www.kalkinma.gov.tr/DocObjects/Download/8143/2003-05.pdf (Erişim Tarihi: 25 Mart 2011).

Dunning, John H. and Rugman Alan M.,(1985), The Influence of Hymer's Dissertation on the Theory of Foreign Direct Investment. The american Economic review, vol.75.no.2, pp. 228-232.

Dunning, John H.,(2001), The Eclectic (OLI) Paradigm of InternationalProduction: Past, Present and Future. International Journal of the Economics of Business, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 173-190.

Dunning ,John, H.,Alan M, Rugman Balir John p.and Resse Luara. A.,(2005),Approaches to Economic Development. Economic development quarterly Journal, vol.28,pp.1-19.

Ebru, Usta Emine.,(2013), The Effect of Culture On Economic Development and Turkey - Russia Economics Relations. International conference on Euraasıan Economies. Ministry of Family and Social Policies, working paper no.461.

Ekinci, Aykut.,(2010),Doğrudan Yabancı Yatırımların Ekonomik Büyüme ve İstihdam etkisi: Türkiye uygulaması(1980-2010).Eskişehir Osman Gazi üniversitesi İİBF Dergisi, cilt:6,s:2,S.71-96.

Elgar, Edward.,(2003), “Industrial Relations in China” Cheltenham, first edition, UK, Northampton, MA, USA.

Erkal, M.E. ,(1990), Bölge Açısından Az Gelişmişlik, İstanbul, Der Yayınları 68.

Erkan, Hüsnü., (1998), Bilgi Toplumu ve Ekonomik Gelişme. Ankara: Türkiye İş Bankası Kültür Yayınları.

Felipe, Jesus, Kumar Utsav and Abdon Arnelyn.(2013), Exports, Capabilities, And Industrial Policy In India. Journal of Comparative Economics, vol. 41,pp. 939–956.

Firebaugh, Glenn.,(1992), Growth Effects of Foreign and Domestic Investment. American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 98, No. 1, pp. 105-130Published by: The University of Chicago Press.

Fogel, Robert W. and Floud, Roderick.,(1999), ‘‘A Theory of Multiple Equilibria Between Populations and Food Supplies: Nutrition, Mortality and Economic Growth in France, Britain and the United States, 1700–1980.’’ Mimeo, University of Chicago. working paper.

Forbes, Naushad.,(2002), Technology And Indian Industry: What is Liberalization Changing? Economic policy Reform and The Indian Economy(New Dehli: Oxford University press) in. Krueger (ed.), pp.129-167.

Foreing Investment Poromotion Board (FIPB) anuval report.,(2011), Department of Economic Affairs Ministry of Finance Government of India. September 01, 2011.URL: http://www.fipbindia.com/FIPB%20REVIEW%202010.pdf.

Frank, Bernanke.,(2012), Economic Growth and Philosophy of Economics. Library of congress Catalog in publiction Data. First edition, USA.

Ftiti, Zied, Tiwari Aviral and Fatnassi Ibrahim.,(2014),Oil price And Macroeconomy In India: An Evolutionary Cospectral Coherence Approach. IPAG Business School Working Paper No.68.

Fujita, Koichi.,(2008), Green Revolution in India and Its Significance in Economic Development: Comparative Studies in Society and History, Vol. 50, No. 1 pp. 170-196.Published by: Cambridge University Press.

Fuller, C. J. and Narasimhan Haripriya.,(2008),From Landlords to Software Engineers: Migration and Urbanization among Tamil Brahmans. Comparative Studies in Society and History, vol.50,No.1,pp.170 –196.

G.Daat.,(2002), İs Indias Economic Growth Leaving Poor Behind? Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol 16, No. 3, pp. 89 –108.

Gehringer, Agnieszka.,(1994), Growth, Productivity And Capital Accumulation: The Effects of Financial Goldberg, Linda S.and Koistad, Charles I.Foreing Direct investment Exchange rate varibility and deman uncertainty. National Bureau of Economic Research 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138August.

Goldberg, Linda, S. And Koistad, Charles D.,(1994),Foreign Direct Investment, Exchange Rate Variability and Demand Uncertainty. NBER working paper series, No. 4815.

Gregroio, Osede and Guidotti, Pablo E.,(2011), Financial Development And Economic Growth. World Development, Vol. 23, No. 3,pp.433-448.

Griffith, Jones.,(2011), Historical Ties and Foreign Direct Investment: An Exploratory Study. Journal of International Business Studies. vol. 42,pp. 545–557.

Griffith, Rachel, Stephen Redding and Helen Simpson., (2004), Foreign Ownership and Productivity: New Evidence from the Service Sector and the R&D Lab. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, vol. 20,No.3,pp. 440-456.

Grossman, Gene M and Gene, Elhanan.,(1994), Endogenous Innovation in The Theory of Growth. Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 8, No. 1,pp. 23– 44.

Gujarati, Damodar N.,(1999),Basic Econometrics.4th Edition, Published by McGraw-HiII/lrwin. United States Military Academy, West Point.

Gylfason, Thorvaldur.,(2000), Natural Resources, Education and Economic development. university of Iceland, SNS, Stockholm and Center for Economic policy Research(CEPR),Discussion paper.No.2594,Oct.

Gylfason, Thorvaldur.,(2001),Natural Resource and Economic Growth: what is the Connection? Center for Economic Studies & Ifo Institute for Economic Research Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany.

Haris, Donald J.,(1986),The Classical Theory of Economic Growth. Department of economics Stanford üniversity. Working paper,No.94305.

Hendrik, Van Den Berg.,(2012), Economic Growth and Development. University of Nebraska, Lincoln press 2nd edition.

Hiejdra, Ben. J.,(2003),Fundation of Modern Macroeconomics Theory. Oxford University Press. UK.

Higgs, Henry.,(2001),The Physiocrats Six Lectures on the French. Batoche Boks Kitchener. First Edition: The Macmillan Company, 1897 This Edition: Batoche Books Limited 52 Eby Street South Kitchener, Ontario N2G 3L1 Canada.

Hill, R. Carter William E. Griffiths and Lim. Guay C.,(2007), Principles of Econometrics, 4th Edition. publisher George Hoffman. USA.

Hindistan Ülke Raporu.,(2010 ve 2014),Ankara Ticaret Odası.

Hindistan Ülke Raporu.,(2008),Konya Ticaret Odası.

Hindistan Ülke Raporu.,(2012), Fethiye Ticaret ve Siyasi odası.

Hirt, Sonia., (2013), Whatever Happened To The (Post)Socialist City? Cities: The International Journal of Urban Policy and Planning, vol. 32,No.1, pp. 29-38.

Hölscher, Jens, Marelli Enrico and Signorelli Marcello.,(2010), China and India in the Global Economy. journal homepage. Economic System,. vol. 34,pp. 212– 217.

Hymer, S. ,(1960), “The International Operations of Nation Firms: A Study of Foreign Direct Investment”, MIT Press, Cambridge, UK.

IMF.,(2000), Regional Economic Outlook:Asia and pacific. Washington, D.C.

İMF.,(2010), Regional Economic Outlook:Asia and pacific. Washington, D.C.

IMF.,(2012), Regional Economic Outlook:Asia and pacific. Washington, D.C.

İMF.,(2013), Regional Economic Outlook:Asia and pacific. Washington, D.C.

İncekara, Ahmet, Tatoğlu ve Y. Ferda .,(2008), Türkiye Ekonomisinde Son Yıllarda Yaşanan Yüksek Oranlı Büyüme Rakamlarının İç Piyasa Üzerindeki Etkileri, İstanbul, İstanbul Ticaret Odası, Türkiye Ekonomisi Yayınları, Yayın No: 2008-56.

Indian Standard Textiles –A-Twill Jute Bags Specification.,(1995), Second Revision, working paper No: TX 03. International Energy Agency or (IEA).,(2004),Analysis of the Impact of High Oil Prices on the GlobalEconomy. anuval report.

Institute of International Finance or (IIF).,(2013),Resarch Note Capital Flows to Emerging Market Economies. June 26.

ISID (Institute for Studies in Industrial Development) .(2013),Economic Growth and Employment Lingaces the Indian Experience. Working paper No: 01.

J.Dumning, S.Hymer.(2010), An Overview of the Main FDI Theories. European Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies. Vol. 2,No.34, December.

J E, Borensztein and J-W Lee De Gregorio.(1998), How Does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Economic Growth? Journal of International Economics, vol. 45,pp. 115–135.

John, H. Dunning, Alan M, Rugman Balir John p.and Resse Luara. A.,(2005),Approaches to Economic Development. Economic development quarterly Journal, vol.28,pp.1-19.

Joshi, Deepika, Nepal Bimal, Singh Rathore Ajay Pal and Sharma Dipti.,(2013), On Supply Chain Competitiveness of Indian Automotive Component Manufacturing Industry. Int. J. Production Economics. vol.143,pp.151–161.

Joshi, Vijay.,(1998), India's Economic Reforms: 1991-2001.The Economic Journal, Vol. 108, No. 446, pp. 196-200,Published by: Wiley on behalf of the Royal Economic Society.

Jovrcik, Beata Smarızynska and Spatareau Mariana.,(2005),Do Foreign Investors Care about Labor Market Regulations? University of Oxford - Department of Economics; World Bank - Development Research Group (DECRG); Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR).

Jyun-Yi, Wu and Hsu, Chih-Chiang., (2008), "Does Foreign Direct Investment Promote Economic Growth? Evidencefrom a Threshold Regression Analysis." Economics Bulletin, Vol. 15, No. 12 pp. 1-10.

K. E. ,Meyer S. Estrin, S. Bhaumik, and M. W. Peng. ,(2008), Institutions, Eesources, And Entry Strategies In Emerging Economies. London School of Economics and School of Management, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom, working paper (581).

Kaplan, Fatih ve Aktaş âli reza.,(2012),Dışsallık ve Nedensellik Kavramları Üzerine Kısa Bir Bakış. Uluslararası Alanya İşletme Fakültesi Dergisi, Cilt:4, S:3, s. 29-34.

Karluk, Rıdvan., (2002),Uluslar arası Ekonomik Mali ve Siyasal Kuruluşlar, Ankara,Turhan Kitabevi. Kaushık, Surendra. k.,(1997), India’s Evolving Economic model. A Perspective on Economic and Financial Reforms. American Journal of Economics and Sociology. vol.56,1 89-199.

Kaynak, M.,(2011), Ekonomik Kalkınma, Ankara, Gazi Kitapevi.

Kazgan, G.,(1997), Küreselleşme ve Yeni Ekonomik Düzen Ne Getiriyor? Ne Götürüyor? Nereye Gidiyor? İstanbul, Altın Kitaplar. Kesilioğlu, Ferdi ve Doç, Dr, Barışıl Salih.,(2006), Türkiye’de Bütçe açıklarının Temel Makroekonomik Değişkenler Üzerine etkisi.1981-2003 Var, EtkiTepki Analizi Varyans Ayrıştırması. Ankara Üniversitesi SBF Dergisi, cilt:61,s:4 Knack, Stephen.,(1995),Trust, Associational Life and Economic Performance.OECD anuual report.URL http://www.oecd.org/edu/innovationeducation/1825662.pdf.

Kobeissi.,(2011), Impact of Governance, Legal System and Economic Freedom on Foreign Investment in the MENA Region. Journal of Comparative International Management, Vol. 14, No. 1.

Kregel, Jan.,(2007), Nurkse And The Role of Finance In Development Economics. the Levy Economics Institute of Bard College; Center for Full Employment and Price Stability, University of Missouri–Kansas City; andTallinn University of Technology. Working Paper No. 520.

Krugman, Paul. R.,(2002),International Economics: Theory and Policy. sixth edition, Addison Wesley press, newyork.

Kuzentz, Simon.,(1963),Quantitative Aspects of the Economic Growth of Nations: VIII. Distribution of Income by Size. Economic Development and Cultural Change. Vol. 11, No. 2, Part 2, pp. 1-80.Published by: The University of Chicago Press.

Kuzentz, Simon.,(2000),“Toward a Theory of Economic Growth”, National Policy or Economic Welfare at Home and Abroad, R. Lekachman, ed. (Garden City, Doubleday). NBER Working Paper No. 787.

Kuznetz, Simon.,(1947), Measurement of Economic Growth. Journal of Economic History, vol.2, pp. 10-34.

Kuzentz, Simon.,(1992),Suggestions for an Inquiry into the Economic Growth of Nations”, Problem in the Study of Economic Growth. University of Pensylvania. Publisher: NBER working paper,No.87014.

Laoswat, Chaikul Pongsak.,(2011), Doctoral Thesis: The Determinants and Impacts of Foreign Direct Investment in the Thahı Manufacturing Sector the Faculty of the Graduate School University of Missouri-Columbia.

Laurie, Nina and Stokke, Kristian.,(2010),Changing Conditions for Political Practice: FDI Discourse and Political Spaces for Labor in Bolivia. Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift - Norwegian Journal of Geography Vol. 64, Issue 1.

Lensink, Robert, Bo Hong and Sterken Emler.,(2006),Does Uncertainty Affect Economic Growth? An empirical Analysis Weltwirtschatliches Archiv, vol 135, pp. 5-6.

Lipsey, Robert E., (1999), The Role of Foreign Direct Investment In International Capital Flows. National Bureau of Economic Research,Working Paper No. 7094.

Lipsey, Robert E., (2001), Foreign Direct Investment and the Operations of Multinational Firms: Concepts, History and Data, National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 8665.

Lipsey, Robert E.,(2002),Home and Host Country Effects Of Foriegn Direct Investment. Volume Publisher: University of Chicago Press Volume ISBN: 0-262-03615-4.

Lucas, J. Robert E.,(1988),On the Mechanics of Economic Development. Journal of Monetary Economics, vol.22,pp. 3-42. North-Holland.

Luiz, R. de Mello Jr., (1997), Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Countries and Growth: A selective survey, The Journal of Development Studies.vol34,pp.1-34.

Madem, Srinu, Gudla Sandeep and Rao K Bhaskara.,(2012), FDI Trends during the Last Decade and Its Effect on Various Sectors in India. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Vol. 2, No. 12.

Malhotra, Bhavya.,(2014),Foreign Direct Investment: Impact on Indian Economy. Global Journal of Business Management and Information Technology. ISSN 2278-3679 Vol. 4, pp. 17-23.

Maria, Popa Ana.,(2012), The Impact of Social Factors on Economic Growth: EmpiricalEvidence for Romania and European Union Countries. Romanian Journal of Fiscal Policy, Vol. 3, No.2, pp. 1-16, July-December.

Marks, Karl.,(1996),capital.(Eskendri İrec, çevre).Tehran, Birinci cilt.(From: Better World Books Ltd (Dunfermline, FIF, United Kingdom).

Mauro, Paolo.,(1995), Corruption And Growth. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 110, No. 3, pp. 681-712.

Mazumdar, Surajit.(2013). India’s Economy: Some Reflections On Its Shaky Future. journal hoom epage. vol.112,pp.712-730.

Meisami, Alex, Misra Lalatendu, Mehran Jamshid and Shi Yilun.,(2014), Foreign Capital Raising by Indian Firms: An Examination of Domestic StockPrice Response. Global Finance Journal doi, Vol. 10,No.1016.

Metron, Robert. C.,(1987),Capital Market Theory And The Pricing Of Financial Securities Masachusetts Institute of Techology Working Paper, No.1818.

Michael, Adler.,(1992), The Cost of Capital and Valuation of a Two-Country Firm. Journal of Finance, vol.12, pp. 119-132.

Miller, John S. And Hoel, Lester A.,(2002), “The Smart Growth Debate: Best Practices for Urban Transportation Planning” Journal of Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, Vol. 36, No. 1,pp.1-24

Moosa, Imad A.,(2010), Department of Economics and Finance, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia. The Determinants of Foreing Direct Investment in Mena Countries: an Extreme Bounds Analysis. Working Paper, No. 0421.

Mruthaunjaya and Ranjıtha. P.,(2009), The Indian Agricultural Research System: Structure. Journal of International Economics, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 317–322

Mukherji, Rahul.(2009). The Political Economy of Development in India. Paper for Conference Trade and Industry in the Asia Pacific Australian National University, November 20th November.

Mundell ,(1957) and Mac, Dougall (1960), Nagaraj R.,(1997), What Has Happened Since 1991? Assessment of India's Economic Reforms. Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 32, No. 44/45, pp. 2869-2879.

Naik, Gopal and Kumar Singh, Santosh.,(2012), Policy Simulation Of The Indian Silk Industry. Man & Development, vol.34, No.4, December.

Nagar, A. L. And Basu, S. R., (2004), ‘Statistical Properties of a Composite Index as Estimate of a Single Latent Variable. Journal of Quantitative Economics, Special Issue, vol 2,No.2.

Nagaraj, R.,(1997), What Has Happened Since 1991? Assessment of India's Economic Reforms. Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 32, No. 44/45, pp. 2869-2879.

Nurkse, Ragnar.,(2001),Trade and Development (Anthem Other Canon Economics).Anthem press in UK and USA in 2001. USA.

OECD Annual Report ,(1999).

OECD Annual Report ,(2002).

OECD Annual Report ,(2003).

OECD Annula Refort,(2012).

OECD Annula Refort ,(2014)

OECD., (2001), ‘Regional Disparities and Trade and Investment Liberalisation in China’, Contribution to the OECD- China Conference on Foreign Investment in China's regional Development: Prospects and Policy Challenges, 11-12 October 2001, Xi'an, China.

Ortega, Jos´e De Gregorio, Claudio Bravo and Banco Central de Chile U.C. at Berkeley.,(2002),The Relative Richness of the Poor? Natural Resources, Human Capital and Economic Growth Department of Economics Universidad de Chile, January 2002 world bank library.

Özcan, Abdulvahap.,(2011), Türkiye İmalat Sanayinde Toplam Faktör Verimliği ve Beşeri Sermaye İlişkisi. Ç.Ü. Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, Cilt 20, S.2, S. 223-242.

Panagariya, Arvind.,(2004), India in the 1980s and 1990s: A Triumph of Reforms. University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742. Work Paper,No.6.

Pani, Narendar.,(2006), Icons and Reform Politics in India: The Case of S. M. Krishna. Asian Survey, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 238-256. University of California Press.

Pardhan, Rudra prakash.,(2009), The Granger Causality Relationship between FDI, GDP and International Tourist Arrivals – Empirical evidence from 5 countries. International Journal of Business and Management. vol.4,pp.12.

Parkin, Michael.,(2014), Macroeconomics 9th edition, Content Technologie press,USA.

Pedersen, Iergen Dige.,(2000), Explaining Economic Liberalization in India: State and Society Perspectives. World Development, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 265-282.

Pedersen, Jùrgen Dige.,(2001), India’s Industrial Dilemmas in West Bengal. Asian Survey, Vol. 41, No. 4 pp. 646-668. University of California Press.