

International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding

http://ijmmu.com editor@ijmmu.con ISSN 2364-5369 Volume 7, Issue 8 September, 2020 Pages: 187-193

The Meaning of Indigenous Rights in Fidusian Guarantee in the Perspective of Law Number 42 of 1999 Concerning Fidusian Guarantee

Rahmat Datau¹; Abdul Rachmad Budiono²; Iwan Permadi³; Siti Hamidah⁴

- ¹ Doctor of Law Candidates, Faculty of Law, Brawijaya University, Indonesia
- ² Professor, Lecturer in the Faculty of Law, Brawijaya University, Indonesia
 - ³ Doctor, Lecturer in the Faculty of Law, Brawijaya University, Indonesia
 - ⁴ Doctor, Lecturer in the Faculty of Law, Brawijaya University, Indonesia

http://dx.doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v7i8.1837

Abstract

The purpose of this article is to discuss the meaning of material rights in fiduciary security in the perspective of Law Number 42 of 1999 concerning Fiduciary Security. The research method used is document review with the Statute Approach approach to fiduciary legislation as primary legal material, as well as various secondary legal materials such as the results of fiduciary scientific studies and literature reference materials about fiduciary. The analysis used is Content Analysis of articles related to fiduciary law. The results showed that the meaning of property rights in nature is always attached to the owner. On the other hand, according to customary law, the meaning of property rights is essentially communal/collective (family/community) as the basis for their rights, both for movable or immovable objects in their possession. Essentially fiduciary property rights are handed over from the debtor's hands to the creditor, with a belief that the property rights will be handed over again if the debtor has paid off his debts, but in the process of surrender, control and his debts often cause legal problems, even though the Fiduciary Guarantee Institute has been formed. The conclusion that the meaning of material rights in fiduciary security lies in the matter of 'Delivery' and 'Mastery' of the items guaranteed.

Keywords: Material Rights; Fiduciary Security; Law

Introduction

In order to ensure legal certainty in carrying out economic development (economic development), the State has guaranteed that all act activities, especially in the economic field have been expressly declared by the State based on law in accordance with Article 1 paragraph (3) of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, reads: State of Indonesia is the rule of law. Law is one of the means or means to achieve the goals of a just and prosperous society. Law is very important in the life of human society and the law is not possible apart from human life throughout his life.

Borrowing and lending activities carried out by lenders and loan recipients require protection through a guarantee institution that is able to provide legal certainty and also protection for both lenders and loan recipients. Without legal certainty, chaos will emerge in society. It is clear that the functioning of law to create order, justice, and certainty in society (Ibrahim, 2006). The law determines which actions can be performed and which actions should not be done or are obligatory and which are prohibited.

The existence of a guarantee institution is regulated in Article 1131 Burgerlijk WetBoek (BW), which is known as general collateral, namely "all items of the debt, both movable and immovable, both existing and new will be in the future, becoming dependents or collateral. for all engagement individually ". Furthermore Article 1132 BW states "the material is a joint guarantee for all those who use it: the proceeds from the sale of the objects are divided according to balance or proportionality, that is, as the size of the debt, except between the debtors have a valid reason first." This article implicitly gives the meaning of the existence of the rights of the separatist creditor or the preferred creditor that takes precedence so as to give an exception to the principle of creditorium parity which applies only to concurrent creditors. In this case, the recipients of special guarantees include mortgages, mortgages, fiduciary mortgage rights (Usman, 2011). Having status as a separatist creditor or preferred creditor. This type of material guarantee can be divided into a priority on non-sense objects (Pai, 2014).

As regulated in the Civil Code Article 499 objects are all things that can be given/placed a right on it, mainly in the form of property rights. Property rights are the rights to enjoy the usefulness of an object freely and to do free of that object with full sovereignty. Material rights are rights (legal subjects) to an object (goods) as stipulated in the Law of Things. Whereas what is meant by the Law of Things is a series of regulations governing objects or goods (zaken) and material rights (zakelijk recht). The material rights are absolute rights over something which the right gives direct power over something and can be defended against anyone, so the material rights are absolute (absolute rights).

From the description above, the 'Law of Things' is divided into two categories, namely: Moving Objects and. Inanimate objects. In the legal context of the engagement, the arrangement that distinguishes ownership rights over 'Movable Property' and 'Immovable Property' is in the case of its Submission, Bazitter, and 'Expiry Date'.

The problem is that in trade transactions through the fiduciary scheme lies in the issue of 'Delivery' and 'Mastery' of the guaranteed items. In terms of how the debtor defaults, this is not expressly regulated in UUJF, particularly with respect to submission to Parate-Execution. Then the right of the creditor's authority to withdraw the collateral goods/objects which are in the possession of the Debtor (Fiduciary Giver), especially with the Parate-Execution have caused many cases of physical conflict. Likewise, the issue of Execution with Under-the-Deed Acts results in complex and risky legal consequences, because Creditors can exercise their execution rights unilaterally and can lead to arbitrariness of creditors (Article 1365 of the Civil Code Jo. Article 368 of the Criminal Code). This situation has often happened, creditors in the execution carried out coercion and take goods unilaterally, even though the legal facts in the collateral are partially or wholly owned by the debtor or others. Conversely, it is also known as a legal fact that some of the collateral belonged to creditors who wanted to execute but were not or have not been registered at the fiduciary registration office.

Furthermore, the imposition of other articles is also possible to occur in Article 372 of the Criminal Code), bearing in mind that the surrender of property rights through this execution is not easy to resolve. Therefore, legal guarantees and legal support are needed as a form of balanced legal protection between creditors and debtors. Next will be discussed related: the nature of the meaning and history of material rights, and the philosophical meaning of material rights in fiduciary guarantees under Law No. 42 of 1999 concerning Fiduciary Guarantees. Therefore the purpose of this article is to discuss the

meaning of material rights in fiduciary security in the perspective of Law No. 42 of 1999 concerning Fiduciary Security.

Methods

This type of research is a legal research, which is a study that examines the laws and regulations namely 'Law Number 42 of 1999 concerning Fiduciary Guarantees' ('LN No. 168, Supplement to State Gazette No. 3889') in a coherent legal system. In this case, the law as a positive norm that applies at a certain time and is published as a product of a certain political force that has legitimacy. Legal research is a series of actions or processes to find the law caused by the incomplete regulation (uncompletely norm) in the implementation of fiduciary guarantee execution or finding the principle of legal certainty. Including the model of granting the same authority and position and for the recipient to secure the execution. The research method used is document review with the Statute Approach approach to fiduciary legislation as primary legal material, as well as various secondary legal materials such as the results of fiduciary scientific studies and literature reference materials about fiduciary. The analysis used is Content Analysis of articles related to fiduciary law.

Results and Discussion

The Nature of the Meaning and History of Material Rights

The nature of the guarantee rights can be distinguished, namely material guarantees and individual guarantees. The material rights give direct power over the object and aim to provide verhaal rights (the right to request the fulfillment of its receivables from the creditor) on the proceeds of the sale of certain objects for the fulfillment of the receivables. to those who obtain rights, both based on general and special rights, also to the creditor and his counterpart and always follow the object and its rights but also the authority to sell the object and the execution (droit de suite; zaaksgevolg) while the individual right creates a direct relationship between individuals who aim to give the creditor the verhaal right to the overall object of the debtor to obtain fulfillment of their receivables (Retnowati & Markum, 2015).

The nature of a fiduciary agreement is an assessoir (meaning a contract of agreement), meaning that this fiduciary agreement may not stand alone, but follows another agreement which is the principal agreement, namely the debt and credit agreement (Martin, 2018).

Zakelijk Recht material rights are absolute rights to an object, that right gives direct power over an object and can be defended against anyone. The right of guarantee in fiduciary is a material right, whereby the creditor pledges a special guarantee for a particular group or object that takes precedence in taking repayment of the results of the execution or also referred to as the preferential right (Usanti, 2012).

The material rights have priority characteristics that have meaning, meaning that material rights born first will take precedence over those born later. Pattern like this does not exist in the figure of personal rights, so that born first or later will have the same position. To prove that personal rights are not adhered to in priority features, it can be seen among others by the presence of article 1132 BW that from this provision a conclusion can be drawn that ordinary receivables, meaning not special receivables, will be treated the same when they want to receive repayments with the Pari Pasu model. , without having to pay attention to when those rights were born, whether one being first or later than the other. As we all know that a debt arises from an obligatory agreement, for example, a credit agreement in a bank environment, which from the womb of the agreement will give birth to personal rights and not material rights.

Situations that can cause the boundary line between material rights and personal rights become blurred, which can be caused by relativering material rights may also be due to the occurrence of personal rights verzakkelijking. Relativering material rights means that material rights which were originally relatively relative or absolute, in certain situations have turned out to be weak. Conversely, if verzakkelijking personal rights, according to the original rights are patterned weak, it turns out in certain situations can strengthen like material rights (Satrio, 1996; Mumek, 2017; Widjaja & Putra, 2019).

Philosophical meaning of material rights in fiduciary security based on Law Number 42 of 1999 concerning fiduciary guarantees

No one will be able to continue the rotation of life, without the aid of objects. The position of objects must be recognized as having a central role in any level of life. Even the so-called necessities of life, much focused on matters of matter. Is that the necessities of life on a primary, secondary, tertiary scale, all involving objects as fulfillment elements. This style has become the nature of human life, and is too naive when this pattern is called hedonism as long as it is still within the limits of propriety. As long as the objects are to cover biological needs in order to continue to exist as creatures, of course, this pattern is still within reasonable limits. Only excessive attitudes deify things, that's a shame of life that would be reviled by society. But if we look carefully, the rotation of social life basically has a lot of dwelling on objects without the need for negative prejudices that lead to meteoric labels. It should be remembered that objects are one of the important materials in the order of social life which of course do need to be mixed with a wise character, so as not to fall into the behavior of riminating objects over everything. The assumption that things are merely a means of sustaining to achieve the goal of a prosperous life and being born, it is this mind which must be confirmed.

With the existence of objects that are chained with an innumerable number, considering that the objects are very close to each person's personal self, the law must appear to regulate them in a norm arrangement that is loaded with legal certainty. This is none other than the result of the fact, that the object is always used as the center of business in society, and the severity of the demands of entrepreneurs to get certainty overall business activities they do (Isnaeni, 2017). For this reason, finally, the law is swift to normalize the intricacies of objects specifically. Arranged, what are the important roles of object classification, how to obtain property rights of objects, then also how to mobility of objects in the market get certainty about the transfer of property rights, and so on. All of these norms, in order to uphold legal certainty, are worthy of norms that frame objects labeled dwingend recht (de Winter, 1964).

Humans who live in groups in a group called society, always equip themselves with objects as a support for the continuity of their existence as creatures. The behavior in pursuing a more prime quality of welfare, every member of the community can be sure to never escape from objects, even their daily activities aim to multiply various objects of wealth which are often used as symbols of their social position. The more various objects owned by one party, the increasing appreciation of the surrounding community by saying, that the person concerned is classified as rich and successful.

In general, objects that are needed by members of the community that support their way of life, are any objects that have economic value and ownership rights can be transferred. Objects with characteristics like this will be used as transaction objects in the social order. Regarding the type of transaction, there can be various types, for example, it can be in the form of buying and selling, leasing, guarantee or exchange transactions. Transactions involving objects, in people's lives wherever and whenever, never recede in detail. The hustle and bustle of the market, many involving objects as objects of legal relations between the parties who are in a business relationship.

Table 1:The Findings of Philosop	phy of Right of Materiality	in Fiduciary Security

Problem	Finding	Theory	Result
	Property rights have become part of human	3	1. Legal certainty theory: Has been fulfilled
material rights in	life, including the use of obtaining economic	been met hierarchically through:	hierarchically through statutory regulations.
fiduciary security	prosperity, including transactions in the sale	~ Civil Code;	The main problem is that there are still
in Indonesia.	and purchase of goods through leasing	~ Law No. 42/1999 Concerning	many cases of executions outside the
	(collateral system), where the transfer of	Fiduciary Guarantees. LN RI	provisions of the applicable laws and
	ownership rights is no longer sufficient with	1999 No. 168, TLN No. 3889;	regulations; The main cause is that formal
	the Trust but through a guarantee of legal	~ Court Decision Number 18 / PUU-	execution processes and mechanisms are
	certainty for all parties concerned.	XVII / 2019 dated January 6,	considered far more expensive and long
	2. Property rights in relation to fiduciary property	2020;	compared to Parote Execution or unilateral
	rights have not touched on the relationship	~ PP RI No. 21/2015 Regarding the	executions.
	between the nature of the meaning of the right		2. The theory of law formation:
	of execution as the process of surrender of the	Guarantee Registration and Costs	The Principle of Legal Certainty, and the
	promised material rights. According to BW, the	for Making Fiduciary Deed, LN	Principles of Clear Purpose appear to have
	meaning of property rights in essence is always	RI / 2015 No. 80, TLN No.	been accommodated by legislation. Unless
	attached to the owner.	5691;	normalization Practicality and convenience
[3. On the other hand, according to customary law,	~ "PP RI No. 86/2000 concerning	can be done by the implementer needs to be
	the meaning of property rights is essentially	Procedures for Registration of	arranged more appropriate.
	communal/collective (family/community) as	-	3. Legal Protection Theory: Fiduciary legislation appears to have adequately
	the basis for their rights, both for movable or immovable objects in their possession. In	for Making Fiduciary Deeds "(" LN RI / 2000 No.170 ").	protected the interests of debtors and
	customary law, the matter of surrender of	~ "Perkap Polri RI Number 08 of	creditors. However, with the Constitutional
	property rights is based on deliberation and	2011 Concerning Security of	Court Decision Number 18 / PUU-XVII /
	consensus in the bond of brotherhood between	Fiduciary Execution Security".	2019 which emphasizes the fairness of legal
	the parties to the transaction and the principle	-	protection for debtors, it must still be
	of expiration is unknown.	The Principle of Legal Certainty,	followed by the PP and Perkap Polri
4	4. Essentially fiduciary property rights are handed	and the Obvious Purpose seems	regarding cheap and fast execution
	over from the debtor's hands to the creditor,	to have been governed by the	procedures by comparing the value of the
	with the belief that the property rights will be	laws and regulations, except	object of execution.
	handed over again if the debtor has paid off his	Practicality can be done, and Can	
	debt. However, in the process of surrender,	be done based on the ability of	guarantees on the part of the transaction
	mastery and fundamentals often lead to legal	individual implementers who	(creditors and debtors) are fairly and fairly
	problems, even though the Fiduciary Guarantee	seem to have inadequate	accommodated. The problem is not with the
	Institute has been formed.	regulatory norms.	legal norms of guarantees, but rather raising
		3. Legal Protection Theory:	the bargaining position of the debtor more balanced than the Debtor.
		Fiduciary legislation appears to have adequately protected the	
		interests of debtors and creditors.	Having been accommodated in the legal
		But in many cases on the field,	norms of the engagement, only in the field
		the debtor is most often harmed.	did the debtor not get the same portion to
		However, the Constitutional	understand the entire contents of the
		Court Decision Number 18 /	discussion dictum made.
		PUU-XVII / 2019 dated January	6. Legal Concept of Fiduciary Security:
		6, 2020, reinforced the fairness	Fiduciary Guarantee Institutions have
		of legal protection for debtors.	benefited a lot and have become the final
		4. Theory of Guarantee Law:	legalization instrument for controlling
		Norms of guarantees on the part	ownership of collateral by the creditors, but
		of the transaction (creditors and	it is necessary to regulate both the time and
		debtors) fairly and fairly appear	cost-efficiency of the execution process by
		to be accommodated by	considering the collateral value factor to be
		legislation, but it appears in the	executed. 7. Execution Concept: Law No. 42/1999
		stronger and profitable	Concerning Fiduciary Guarantees. LN RI
	<u> </u>	saonger and promatte	Concerning Fiduciary Guarantees. LIV KI

bargaining position compared to the Debtor.

5. Agreement Theory:

Having been accommodated in the legal norms of the engagement, only in the field did the debtor not get the same portion to understand the entire contents of the discussion dictum made.

- 6. Legal Concept of Fiduciary
 Guarantee: The Fiduciary
 Guarantee Institute has been
 established for a long time but its
 use has not been maximized by
 creditors because of the
 efficiency of the execution
 process.
- 7. Execution Concept: Execution norms are very important and have been regulated in Law No. 42/1999 Concerning Fiduciary Guarantees. LN RI 1999 No. 168, TLN No. 3889, Decision of the Constitutional Court Number 18 / PUU-XVII / 2019 dated January 6, 2020, and Indonesian Police Decree No. 08 of 2011 concerning Safeguarding the Execution of **Fiduciary** Guarantees, but in the field, the execution process is still often carried outside mechanism stipulated in the legislation.

1999 No. 168, TLN No. 3889 jo. MK Decision Number 18 / PUU-XVII / 2019 dated January 6, 2020 jo. Republic of Indonesia Police Decree No. 08 of 2011 concerning the Safeguarding of Fiduciary Security Execution, needs to be improved by considering the time and cost efficiency factors compared to the value of collateral goods.

Objects that complement all activities of daily life, can be freely enjoyed having a property label. As a result, each member of the community will pursue the objects needed for the purpose of becoming the owner. Because a person who is the owner of an object, as stipulated in Article 570 BW, will be very free in carrying out legal actions against his property, with the limitation of not being able to violate the law or harm the rights of others. The freedom to carry out legal actions on property, including also enjoying for the sake of self-interest, or to alienate it according to the intended purpose. Freedom to take legal action on an object like that, is not owned by someone other than the owner. Real possession of an object, is not yet a guarantee that the person concerned can freely carry out legal actions, if for example the person concerned is only positioned as a borrower to use or only as a tenant (Isnaeni, 2017).

Conclusion

Property rights have become part of human life, including the use of obtaining economic prosperity, including transactions in the sale and purchase of goods through leasing (collateral system),

where the transfer of ownership rights is no longer sufficient with the Trust but through a guarantee of legal certainty for all parties concerned. Property rights in relation to fiduciary property rights have not touched on the relationship between the nature of the meaning of the right of execution as the process of surrender of the promised material rights. According to BW, the meaning of property rights in essence is always attached to the owner. On the other hand, according to customary law, the meaning of property rights is essentially communal/collective (family/community) as the basis for their rights, both for movable or immovable objects in their possession. Essentially fiduciary property rights are handed over from the debtor's hands to the creditor, with the belief that the property rights will be handed over again if the debtor has paid off his debt. However, in the process of surrender, mastery and expiration often leads to legal problems, even though the Fiduciary Guarantee Institute has been formed

References

- de Winter, L. I. (1964). Dwingend recht bij internationale overeenkomsten. *Netherlands International Law Review*, 11(4), 329-365.
- Ibrahim, J. (2006). Teori dan metodologi penelitian hukum normatif. Malang: Bayumedia Publishing, 57.
- Martin, R. B. (2018). *Aspek Hukum Pidana Dalam Peralihan Obyek Fidusia (Studi Kasus Putusan Nomor 205/Pid. sus/2015/PN. Bgr)*. Thesis, Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya.
- Moch. Isnaeni. 2016, Hukum Benda Dalam Burgelljk Wetboek. PT. Revka Petra Media.
- Mumek, R.A.(2017). Hak-Hak Kebendaan Ditinjau Dari Aspek Hukum Perdata. Lex Administratum, 5(2).
- Pai, V. R. (2014). Kedudukan Penerima Jaminan Fidusia Terhadap Debitor Yang Dinyatakan Pailit. *Lex Privatum*, 2(2).
- Rachmadi Usman, 2011, Hukum Kebendaan, Jakarta, Raja Grafindo Persada, hlm 283.
- Retnowati, T., & Markum, M. (2015). Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Kreditur Penerima Fidusia Yang Kemudian Dirampas Untuk Negara Dalam Kasus Illegal Logging. *Sapientia Et Virtus*,2(1),53-67.
- Satrio, J. (1996). Hukum Jaminan Hak-Hak Kebendaan. Bandung: Citra Aditya Bakti.
- Usanti, T. P. (2012). Lahirnya Hak Kebendaan. *Perspektif*, 17(1), 44-53.
- Widjaja, E. K., & Putra, W. T. (2019). Karakteristik Hak Kebendaan Pada Objek Jaminan Fidusia Berupa Benda Persediaan. *Jurnal Mercatoria*, 12(1), 14-28.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).